1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4		016 - 1:24 p.m.
5	Concord, New	Hampshire NHPUC OCT13'16 PM 2:18
6	DE.	DG 16-769
7	KE:	CONCORD STEAM CORPORATION:
8		Petition for Emergency Rates and to Discontinue Service.
9		
10	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
11		Commissioner Rachityn M. Barrey
12		Sandy Deno, Clerk
13		
14	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Concord Steam Corporation: Susan S. Geiger, Esq. (Orr & Reno)
15		Reptg. Dept. of Admin. Services:
16		Christopher G. Aslin, Esq. Asst. Attorney General
17		N.H. Department of Justice Cmsr. Vicki Quiram
18		Dep. Cmsr. Michael Connor
19		Reptg. Concord School District: John Teague, Esq.
20	*	Jack Dunn, Business Administrator
21		
22		
23	Court Report	ter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52



1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(continued)
3		Reptg. City of Concord:
4		James W. Kennedy, Esq./City Solicitor Ed Roberge, City Engineer
5		Reptg. PUC Staff: Alexander F. Speidel, Esq.
6		Mark Naylor, Dir./Gas & Water Div. Stephen Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water
7		sception fram, tisse. Bir., cas a water
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		
2	NDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	PUBLIC COMMENT BY:	
5	Ms. Field	11
6	Sen. Feltes	15
7		
8	WITNESS: PETER BLOOMFIELD	
9	Direct examination by Ms. Geiger	21
10	Cross-examination by Mr. Speidel Cross-examination by Mr. Kennedy	29
11	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey	42 <b>,</b> 56
12	Interrogatories by Chairman Honigberg Direct examination by Ms. Geiger	5 9 6 2
13	Recross-examination by Mr. Kennedy	68
14	WITNESS: STEPHEN P. FRINK	
15	Direct examination by Mr. Speidel	72
16	Cross-examination by Mr. Kennedy Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott	9 0 9 4
17	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey Interrogatories by Chrmn. Honigberg	98 102
18		
19	WITNESS: MICHAEL P. CONNOR	
20	Direct examination by Mr. Aslin	108
21	Cross-examination by Mr. Kennedy Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey	111 112
22	Interrogatories by Chairman Honigberg	113
23		
24		

1		I N D E X (continued)	
2			PAGE NO.
3	STATEMENTS R	E: ADMISSION OF EXHIBITS BY:	
4		Ms. Geiger Mr. Kennedy	114 115
5		rir. Reimedy	113
6	QUESTIONS BY	:	
7	Cmsr. Scott		115
8			
9	CLOSING STAT	EMENTS BY:	
10		Mr. Kennedy Mr. Aslin	117 122
11		Mr. Teague Mr. Speidel	124 127
12		Ms. Geiger	127
13			
14	QUESTIONS BY	:	
15	Chairman Hon	igberg	118, 130
16			
17		EXHIBITS	
18	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
19	6	Settlement Agreement (10-04-1	L6) 22
20	7	Letter from Laura M. Aible of the City of Concord to	41
21		Ed Roberge (09-15-16) (Marked for ID only at this	time)
22	8	Testimony of Stephen P. Frink	
23		with attachments (10-04-16)	
24			

# PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're this afternoon in Docket DG 16-769, which is Concord Steam's proceeding, which seeks permission to stop doing business as a public utility and also asks for the setting of rates until the time that they stop acting as a public utility.

We are not, as I understand it, in Docket DG 16-770, although someone may correct me before too long.

Before we go any further, let's take appearances.

MS. GEIGER: Yes. Good afternoon,
Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I'm Susan
Geiger, from the law firm Orr & Reno. I
represent Concord Steam Corporation. And with
me today at counsel's table are Peter
Bloomfield, President of Concord Steam, and
Mr. Mark Saltsman, Vice President.

MS. FIELD: Good afternoon. My name is Rebecca Field. I am a member of the Council of the South Congregational Church. And I am here in support of the proposal, and have some written documentation.

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right, 2 Ms. Field, we're circle back to you in a few 3 minutes, okay? 4 MS. FIELD: I may not be able to stay 5 beyond two o'clock, though. 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 7 Then, we'll circle back to you before two o'clock. 8 MR. ASLIN: Good afternoon. 9 10 Aslin, from the Office of Attorney General, on 11 behalf of the Department of Administrative 12 Services. Along with me is Commissioner Vicki 13 Quiram and Deputy Commissioner Michael Connor. 14 MR. KENNEDY: Good afternoon. Jim 15 Kennedy, for the City of Concord. And here 16 with me is City Engineer, Ed Roberge. 17 MR. TEAGUE: Attorney John Teague, 18 here for Concord School District. And with me 19 is Business Administrator, Jack Dunn. 20 MR. SPEIDEL: Alexander Speidel, 21 representing the Staff of the Commission. 22 I have with me Assistant Director Stephen Frink 23 and Director Mark Naylor of the Gas and Water 24 Division.

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I note that 2 representatives of Liberty are here as well. 3 Mr. Speidel, what's on the agenda for 4 this hearing? MR. SPEIDEL: Well, I think we ought 5 6 to hear Ms. Field's public comment. I believe 7 she wants to distribute a paper version of those comments to you. I think that should be 8 accommodated before two o'clock, if possible. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, no problem. 11 I just want to know what is the --12 MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What are the 14 questions that need to be answered today? What 15 is the -- what are the orders of business for 16 the Commission today? 17 MR. SPEIDEL: So, after that, we 18 would like to call three witnesses in 19 succession. The first witness would be Mr. 20 Bloomfield of the Company, to explain, as the moving party of this docket, the Settlement 21 22 Agreement and answer questions, in terms of 23 clarifying elements of the Settlement Agreement 24 for which Concord Steam is responsible. And,

And

1 then, we would have Mr. Frink, representing the Staff of the Commission, providing Staff's 2 3 perspective on this issue. And, then, third, 4 we would have Mr. Connor, of the Department of 5 Administrative Services, offer testimony for the Commission's benefit, especially in light 6 7 of certain elements, such as the Downtown Loop that will be in operation after the termination 8 of the utility status of Concord Steam. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Any of the 11 parties have a different or supplemental view 12 of what needs to get done today? 13 [No verbal response.] 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm interested, 15 before we turn to Ms. Field, what the position 16 of the School District and the City are on the Settlement? Not in any great detail, I just 17 18 want to know are you --19 MR. TEAGUE: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: -- supporting, 21 opposing or taking no position? 22 MR. TEAGUE: No, we're here in 23 support. The Concord School District is here

{DG 16-769} {10-05-16}

in support of the Settlement Agreement.

24

there was a discussion as to formal signing, and it was felt by the School District, as I believe Jim will talk about with the City, that we believe the governing boards needed an opportunity to ratify the Settlement.

But we strongly support its terms.

And we participated in that, and our requested amendment to the Settlement Agreement has been put in, despite the fact that we don't have a formal signing. So, we appreciate that, on behalf of the School District, that the Concord Steam has been accommodating in that way.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr. Teague.

Mr. Kennedy.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The City has some current concerns with the Settlement Agreement with respect to some repairs in the Concord's water and sewer system that the Concord Steam Corporation has created. And we're concerned that the rate established does has not establish any payment for those repairs.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. You don't

```
1
         need to go any further. I just wanted to get
         where you were. You're going to get an
 2
 3
         opportunity to ask questions, develop whatever
 4
         it is you need developed.
                   MR. KENNEDY: Yes. We can talk about
 6
         it in the testimony.
 7
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okav.
                   MR. KENNEDY: And we've got some
 8
9
         other issues.
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
11
                   MR. KENNEDY: Thank you.
12
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Field, you
13
         would like to offer some comments, and you have
14
         something to hand out, is that what I
15
         understood?
16
                   MS. FIELD: Yes. That's correct.
17
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why don't you --
18
         is what you're going to hand out something
19
         you're going to read from?
20
                   MS. FIELD: In part, yes. Do you
21
         want the handouts at this time?
22
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll leave that
23
         up to you, however you want to make your
24
         presentation.
```

MS. FIELD: Thank you, Chairman. I am speaking on behalf of South Congregational Church located at 27 Pleasant Street. We are current customers of Concord Steam and have a direct interest in the docket items pending before the PUC. We are requesting that the PUC require Liberty Utilities to create a fully funded grant to assist charitable organizations with the cost the conversion from Concord Steam to gas-powered boilers as a condition of approval of Liberty Utilities' purchase of Concord Steam assets.

In August 2016, South Church first learned that Concord Steam was planning on ceases operations and selling its assets to Liberty Utilities. That gave us just nine months to make the conversion of our church facility to another heat source.

At the same time, we learned that

Concord Steam would be instituting a 23 percent

rate increase for the upcoming season, at an

increased cost of \$5,290 to the church.

Needless to say, this information came as a

shock to our congregation. We had just been

through a long budget process two months earlier, and barely passed a budget that already anticipates a 7,300 deficit due to revenue decreases.

None of these new expenses from

Concord Steam were anticipated or budgeted. An
unbudgeted 23 percent rate increase, coupled
with the cost of conversion, will be crippling
for the church.

Less than two weeks ago, on

September 21st, members of South Church
attended the informal meeting at Red River

Theatre. We learned that Concord Steam and
Liberty Utilities would make -- had made no
arrangements to help its commercial and
nonprofit clients with the transition other
than to connect them with commercial leaders

[lenders?]. We also learned that other
charitable organizations, like ourselves, are
struggling to make ends meet during this
process. When we left the meeting, we knew the
PUC would be considering final approval of the
agreement between Concord Steam and Liberty
Utilities in less than two weeks.

Given how little information is available and how quickly the process has unfolded, our church, which is run primarily by volunteers, has been unable to formally connect with other organizations to petition the PUC for relief. For these reasons, we have not been able to file a formal petition to intervene in these dockets.

Senator Don [Dan?] Feltes, who has been in communication with many affected constituents, contacted us the other day to inform us that he has been discussing with stakeholders the possibility of a grant funded by Liberty Utilities to assist organizations like ours through this difficult transition. South Church enthusiastically supports a petition that may be filed for the financial reasons described in this communication.

If the PUC is unwilling to require Liberty Utilities to fund a grant at today's meeting, South Church requests that the PUC delay final action -- excuse me -- on these agenda items to give stakeholders -- excuse me -- like South Church, time to craft a

1	proposal that would help us avoid the	
2	devastating financial impact of a rapid	
3	transition from Concord Steam to gas.	
4	Thank you for your consideration of	
5	this request.	
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. That	
7	comment, thank you, Ms. Field, I think obviates	
8	the need for me to identify the letter we	
9	received from the church in this morning's	
10	mail, which is what Ms. Field was just reading	
11	from. That is part of our record already.	
12	[Ms. Field distributing	
13	documents.]	
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, it's not	
15	really necessary for us to get it again, but	
16	that's fine.	
17	MS. FIELD: Thank you.	
18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And that's been	
19	filed in both of the dockets that are related	
20	to this, to this matter.	
21	I'm going to go off the record for	
22	just one second.	
23	[Brief off-the-record discussion	
24	ensued.]	

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Back on the No one seems to be interested in 2 record. 3 correcting me that 16-770 is not before us 4 today. Am I correct, Ms. Geiger and 5 Mr. Speidel, that the record in that proceeding 6 is actually closed? 7 That's my understanding. MS. GEIGER: MR. SPEIDEL: The answer is "yes". 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I saw Senator 9 10 Feltes here. He's still here. Senator Feltes, 11 would you like to say anything on this? 12 SEN. FELTES: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 13 Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the 14 Thank you for the opportunity to Commission. 15 provide some public input. I'm here in my 16 capacity as a State Senator for District 15, 17 Concord, Hopkinton, Henniker, and Warner. 18 Obviously, this issue, Mr. Chairman, 19 has a significant impact on the City of 20 Concord, its businesses, its nonprofits. 21 heard from Ms. Field, from South Church, of 22 what they're going through. I've been in 23 constant communication with many customers 24 throughout this process, and there are similar

types of situations. Folks who don't have money to interconnect. Folks who are just in the process of getting RFPs and looking at this type of thing. Folks, in my cases, like nonprofits, who need board authority to intervene in PUC dockets, don't have board meetings every week to be able to have met the, you know, the tight timeframe with respect to this docket, both dockets.

So, what Ms. Field has mentioned is the concept of "what can we do to help these customers that are struggling, and struggling to meet the timeframe that has been laid out in these dockets?"

So, you know, earlier today, Mr.

Chairman, I circulated a draft proposal of a draft petition, a separate petition than these dockets. That is going to be filed at some point with this Commission. That proposal, fundamentally, Mr. Chairman, goes to the issue of creating a fund to help with the transition, interconnection, principally dealing with customers that don't have the financial ability to do it and meet it on time.

With respect to the amount of the fund, I think that could be the subject of ongoing discussions. There's different provisions in a draft petition that I circulated, Mr. Chairman. That, certainly, people have different ideas about, that's fine.

But the upshot, Mr. Chairman, is that what isn't fine is what's happening to the businesses and the nonprofits in Downtown Concord in this current situation that they're in. This is not the fault of anyone, it's just the situation that we're in.

And, you know, Mr. Chairman, ideally, I'm here with an argument and all the ducks in the row on something, but I don't have all the ducks in the row, because of the timeframe and how unusual this circumstance is.

But, given the unusual circumstance of shutting down a utility that would dramatically impact the heat and hot water through many businesses and nonprofits in Downtown Concord, I feel it's imperative that a fund be set up to help with that cost, with interconnection and transition.

And, so, you know, folks are struggling. I'm in constant communication with them. I'm only here today if, you know, if I really truly believe that this is a problem.

It is a sincere problem, Mr. Chairman. We will file a petition. I don't know who will be joining it. But, you know, we'll file that petition within the context of this docket or outside of this docket. I know some folks would prefer that the dockets go forward.

Whatever the Commission prefers, but, you know, if we file a separate petition, that would be fine.

Finally, I'll just say this. You know, the 1.9 million, there's plenty of arguments about it. But, at the end of the day, that's, you know, fundamentally easements and the customer list, it's not, at the end of day, guaranteeing interconnection. If you look at the cost/benefit, you're assuming that a certain amount of folks are interconnecting. I can tell you that, what you heard from South Church, some folks are not going to get there, Mr. Chairman, without some help.

```
1
                    So, I think it's a reasonable
 2
         concept, and happy to file it as a separate
 3
         petition, with whichever stakeholders and
 4
         groups would like to join in.
                    Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 6
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you,
 7
         Senator Feltes.
 8
                   Ms. Geiger, I have a question.
                   MS. GEIGER: Yes.
9
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The Order of
11
         Notice in this proceeding was dated July 26th.
12
         And there was a directive that no later than
13
         August 5th that the notice be sent to all of
14
         the Company's customers. Was that done?
15
                   MS. GEIGER: It was. And I neglected
16
         at the last hearing, Mr. Chairman, to actually
17
         provide you with a copy of the notice that was
18
         sent to each customer. There was no
19
         requirement that we file it in this docket.
20
         But I did have a copy, and I'm happy to send it
21
         to the Commission after today's hearing.
22
         that was done.
23
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seems like a
24
         prudent thing to have on file.
```

```
1
                    All right. Are there any other
         members of the public who would like to make
 2
 3
         comments before we proceed?
 4
                         [No verbal response.]
 5
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
         Seeing none, Mr. Speidel, I think you outlined
 6
 7
         a process for us to go forward. Is there
         anything else we need to do before we proceed?
 8
                         (Atty. Speidel indicating in the
9
10
                         negative.)
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The record will
11
12
         reflect that Mr. Speidel's head was shaking.
                    CMSR. SCOTT: From side-to-side.
13
14
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Shaking
15
         side-to-side, yes, Commissioner Scott
16
         clarifies.
17
                   Ms. Geiger, I think your witness is
18
         going first?
19
                    MS. GEIGER: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
20
         Chairman. Concord Steam calls Peter
         Bloomfield.
21
22
                         [Brief off-the-record discussion
23
                         ensued.]
24
                         (Whereupon Peter Bloomfield was
```

1 called as a witness, having been previously sworn by the Court 2 Reporter in this docket on 3 September 6, 2016.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Geiger, I think the witness is already sworn and under 6 7 So, you may proceed. oath. MS. GEIGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 PETER BLOOMFIELD, PREVIOUSLY SWORN 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. GEIGER: 12 Mr. Bloomfield, as Chairman Honigberg has 13 indicated, you testified at the hearing held 14 previously in this docket on September 6th, 15 2016, is that correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And do you have anything further to add to the Q. 18 prefiled testimony that you submitted or the 19 oral testimony that you gave at that hearing? 20 Since the hearing, there's been some relatively Α. 21 minor adjustment of steam sales, but nothing 22 substantial that I can think of that was 23 changed from the original testimony. 24 And has Concord Steam, the State of New Q.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
1
         Hampshire, Department of Administrative
         Services, and the Staff of the New Hampshire
 2
         Public Utilities Commission entered into a
 3
 4
         Settlement Agreement in this docket?
 5
         Yes, we have.
 6
         And was that Settlement Agreement filed with
    Q.
 7
         the Commission yesterday, October 4th, 2016?
    Α.
         Yes.
 8
9
                    MS. GEIGER: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I'm
10
         assuming that the Bench has copies of the
11
         Settlement Agreement, is that correct?
12
                    And Concord Steam would ask that that
         be marked as the next exhibit for
13
14
         identification, which I believe is "Exhibit 6"?
15
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's correct.
16
                         (The document, as described, was
17
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 6 for
18
                         identification.)
19
                    MS. GEIGER: Thank you.
    BY MS. GEIGER:
20
         Mr. Bloomfield, could you briefly summarize the
21
    Q.
22
         provisions of the Settlement Agreement for the
23
         Commission.
24
                There are a number of different points.
    Α.
         Okay.
```

So, I'll kind of walk through them. Settlement agreed that there would be a \$1.4 million increase in our usage revenue for the short year that we have, starting from October 1 to May 31. It would result in an increase in rates of roughly \$35 per thousand pounds for the usage rate. The COE rate is expected to be another approximately \$24 an Mlb.

The usage rate for the Abbott-Downing and Christa McAuliffe Schools will be \$3.08 per Mlb effective through the end of May. All of the other Concord Steam tariff and charges will also apply to the schools, but their usage rate will be \$3.08.

There's a projected -- or, a suggested mechanism to eliminate any under recovery that the Staff and the Company has agreed on, such that we'd be monitoring steam sales and usage revenue during the year, and, if need be, adjusted as the heating season goes on.

If there's a overrecovery of usage revenue in excess of 5 percent, then there would be a refund to customers.

If there is some kind of unusual

situation, we can ask for an increase in emergency rates, if there was an extraordinary expense, but that would happen as part of a full rate review.

We have a Rate Expense Surcharge that we have as part of this package.

We would continue -- discontinue service to steam customers on May 31, 2017. Some are likely to be sooner as they go off.

We will return the steam plant to the -and the distribution -- steam piping
distribution system on the Hugh Gallen Campus
back to the State. And, then, as part of the
arrangement, allow the use of part of the
Downtown underground steam piping system that
services the State buildings, and allow the
state to use that on a temporary basis until
they can put a permanent solution into their
heating needs Downtown.

Through that, it involves, since Concord Steam will no longer exist, there will be a holding company that would be formed to hold the ownership of the loop, as the State does not want to be an owner of it, of the loop.

WITNESS:

that the State pays it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And, so, that complicates the arrangement somewhat, but it's basically going to be a pass-through arrangement that, whatever costs are incurred as part of the holding company,

Bloomfield]

25

That, as part of that, when the State finally abandons the loop, they will be closing up the manholes that are part of that loop. And we will give them a credit for the value of that work on their last bill. What that primarily is is that all of the steam manholes Downtown, we'll be filling with something called "flowable fill", it's a light concrete, just to fill the manholes so that it is in no danger of future collapse.

We ask that the Commission allow -- that Concord Steam customers only be allowed to be connected to Liberty Utilities once they have paid all outstanding charges owed to Concord Steam. In fact, we're already having some issues with that, where some customers are converting and I suspect we're not going to be able to collect on those.

There is a Phase I and Phase II

Environmental Assessment of the facility that will be done, and a report provided to -- provided to the Commission relative to any contamination that may or may not be on the existing steam site.

Environmental liability issues covered in it, that we ask that the equipment lease for the CATCH equipment should be approved, and also that the -- that the contract that provides for steam service for Rundlett Middle School, it's an agreement between the Company and the School District, that, once the Company closes, that the contract shall terminate, and neither will -- both entities will release the other from any further commitments.

That's a rough pass.

- Q. And, Mr. Bloomfield, are you familiar with the Commission's Order Number 25,947, issued September 28th, 2016 in this docket, which approved interim emergency rates?
- 21 A. Yes. Yes.

Q. And what percentage rate increase did that order -- did the rates in that order represent --

Bloomfield] WITNESS:

- 1 Α. That was an approximate 23 percent rate 2 increase.
- 3 And what rate increase is represented by the Q. rates contained in the Settlement Agreement? 4
- 5 Something a little over 19 percent.
- 6 Okay. So, in other words, the rate increase Q. 7 under the Settlement Agreement is less than the interim rates that were put into effect on 8 October 1st? 9
- 10 Yes. Α.
- 11 Thank you. And, Mr. Bloomfield, I think the Q. 12 final question I have for you, does Concord 13 Steam support the Settlement Agreement that you 14 just summarized?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 MS. GEIGER: Mr. Chairman, this 17 witness is available for cross-examination by 18 the non-Settling Parties.
- 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. And, 20 Ms. Geiger, don't let me forget to come back to 21 offer you a chance for redirect, which I have 22 done twice to you at other hearings.
- 23 MS. GEIGER: I think just once.
- 24 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It feels like

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 twice. So, don't let me forget, please. All right. Just before we get to 2 3 Mr. Kennedy, do any of the Settling Parties have any questions they need to ask Mr. 4 5 Bloomfield? Mr. Speidel? (Atty. Speidel indicating in the 6 7 affirmative.) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Does any of the 8 9 other Settling Parties? 10 MR. ASLIN: No. 11 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I 12 see shaking heads. Mr. Speidel, why don't you 13 ask your questions, and then we'll give 14 Mr. Kennedy his crack. 15 MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Mr. 16 Chairman. 17 Mr. Bloomfield, I'm going to ask you 18 a series of questions that are pretty technical 19 in nature, and some of them might shade a 20 little bit into the area of legal opinions. 21 But they're more for you as a well-educated businessman, you have a lot of experience in 22 23 this regulatory area. 24 If you do feel comfortable answering

them, please do. If not, please turn to your

counsel, you know, you can kind of raise your

objections. But it's designed to clarify some

of the statements that you made. Just wanted

to have that preface.

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Okay.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. SPEIDEL:

6

7

9

10

11

- Q. So, Mr. Bloomfield, I heard you mention the fact that Concord Steam will cease to exist at the end of May of 2017, is that right?
- 12 A. That may have been what I said, yes.
- Q. So, when you say that "Concord Steam will cease to exist", it will no longer have, in your opinion, the status of a public utility under RSA 362:2, in that it won't be offering service to any customers, in theory, right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. But it will still exist as an incorporated private corporation in the State of New Hampshire, correct?
- A. Yes, it will, we have work that needs to be done during the summer closing up. So, we expect to actually dissolve the corporation

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

sometime toward the end of 2017,
November/December.

- 3 Q. So, that is when it will be terminated?
- 4 A. That is correct.
  - Q. The holding company that you mentioned, has

    Concord Steam decided, within the framework of

    of the Settlement Agreement, as to whether it

    will be a subsidiary of Concord Steam or sort

    of another holding under the principals of

    Concord Steam or have you not decided that yet?
- 11 A. We have not discussed that.
- 12 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 A. It will be a single-purpose LLC. It's not

  14 likely to be held by Concord Steam, because we

  15 don't expect Concord Steam to continue be in

  16 existence.
  - Q. Okay. So, just to drive the point home, some of the points of the Settlement Agreement, such as Point 11, talking about "Concord Steam providing the State with specifications for the material used by Concord Steam to fill non-Downtown loop manholes", and Point 13, reports and assessments being delivered to Concord Steam within five business days after

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

they're received by the State, that will be provided to the private entity, Concord Steam, and not necessarily the public utility, but it will still exist as a corporate entity, correct?

- 6 A. Yes. That's right.
- 7 Q. And it will have those responsibilities?
- 8 A. Yes.
- Now, in the framework of those 9 Q. 10 responsibilities, I think you're familiar with the fact that the Commission has a law. It's 11 12 a -- you don't have to know the specific 13 citation, but it's under RSA 374:19. And it 14 says that "no public utility shall willfully 15 make any false statement or false entry in any 16 report to the Commission or in any answer to 17 any question lawfully asked by the Commission?
- 18 A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Excellent. So, I think you understand, as part of your agreeing to this Settlement Agreement, that Concord Steam was entering into a series of undertakings as conditions precedent, enabling the Commission to assess whether a discontinuation of service under these

circumstances was appropriate, is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. So, you would agree that, even after the termination of public utility service by Concord Steam, that the Commission would still have a general oversight and overview responsibility for these undertakings and would have some level of standing to challenge failure to meet those commitments. Would you agree?
- A. [Answer stricken as directed on Page 34]

MS. GEIGER: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to object to the question and ask that the answer be stricken from the record. I think that the -- Mr. Speidel is asking for a conclusion of law that I don't believe this witness is qualified to give. In fact, I don't think I'm qualified to give the answer right here contemporaneously.

So, I'm going to -- I'm going to object to the answer and ask that, if any utterance that Mr. Bloomfield might have made while I was objecting, be stricken.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel.

MR. SPEIDEL: I would tend to agree that there's some good grounds for the objection. I would have hoped that the answer would have been "yes, we understand that these are undertakings that the Commission would have some standing to be concerned about."

But, if that's the position of counsel, I would understand that there's some reasonable basis for that objection.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Geiger looks like she wants to say something else.

MS. GEIGER: I think that the question that Mr. Speidel just suggested is a little bit different than the question that I heard. And that is, understanding that the Commission might be concerned about something is one thing, asking the witness to opine on whether or not there's a legal obligation on the part of Concord Steam or any other entity, I think is a different question.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think Ms.

Geiger is probably correct there. That you may have mis -- you may have changed your question a little bit.

```
1
                   But I think we're going to grant the
 2
         motion -- I'm sorry, we're going to sustain the
 3
         objection and strike the answer that may or may
         not have been given, which I actually didn't
 4
 5
         hear.
 6
                         [NOTE: Prior answer stricken as
 7
                         directed.]
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, there's a
 8
9
         legal argument that can be made, if people want
10
         to make it.
                   Off the record.
11
12
                         [Brief off-the-record discussion
13
                         ensued with the court reporter.]
14
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
15
         We're back on the record. Mr. Speidel.
16
                   MR. SPEIDEL: That's fine, Mr.
17
         Chairman. Staff accepts the ruling.
    BY MR. SPEIDEL:
18
19
         Looking at the --
    Q.
20
                   WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: I guess, to
21
         interrupt Mr. Speidel, to clarify. Yes,
22
         Concord Steam does understand that, as part of
23
         this Settlement and part of the agreement for
24
         shutting down, there's a certain amount of work
```

that has to be done during the summer after the end of steam service. And we've accepted that and budgeted that. And, in fact, actually, I assume we're going to have to report, to put in a final annual report, that we're going to have to have some final reports to the Commission on all of that, all of those issues that we need to clear up before we finally walk away.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bloomfield.

Mr. Speidel, do you have any further questions for Mr. Bloomfield?

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. One, just one generic question.

# BY MR. SPEIDEL:

Q. The question that comes to mind is, in another docket, the specific number escapes me, there's an ongoing requirement to have quarterly reports to the Commission regarding the status of Concord Steam's physical plant and operations. Does Concord Steam have a feeling regarding whether those still add any value for the Commission's monitoring purposes, perhaps within the context of this docket, or would you

1 recommend that that be terminated, that 2 requirement?

I feel that the need for reporting on the Α. physical status of the facility is not required. We do intend to make regular reports to Staff on issues of steam sales and other pertinent company statuses.

Bloomfield]

36

MR. SPEIDEL: Okay. I think all the remaining questions that might come up actually would be more appropriately asked by other parties. So, Staff has concluded its cross-examination.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Aslin and Mr. Teague indicated they had no questions. So, Mr. Kennedy, you may proceed.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Just a few questions for Mr. Bloomfield. And some of these are just for clarification. I just want to understand this dissolution, at least with respect to the City here.

# BY MR. KENNEDY:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Has the Company set aside funds for its Q. property taxes through the dissolution, Mr. Bloomfield?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
    A. We have allocated funds for property taxes,
    yes.
```

- Q. And you understand that the tax year for 2017 starts and taxes will be due and owing as of April 1, 2017?
- A. Yes. And we expect to pay them for the two months that would be appropriate.
- 8 Q. Right. So, all taxes for April for the 2017
  9 tax year, that entire year is assessed on
  10 April 1, 2017.
- 11 A. Okay.

21

22

23

- Q. And the entire year is due, and you pay. And you understand that, isn't that correct?
- A. No. That's not how I understood it, actually.

  I was under the impression that, when we no

  longer own any assets in the City, that we

  should not have to pay any property tax. I can

  see paying property tax for April and for May,

  but not for the remainder of the year, when we

  will not own any property.
  - Q. Okay. I mean, I don't mean to have a property tax law discussion with you. But, for purposes of just frankness with the discussion, April 1 of each tax year is the year that taxes are

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 assessed, and they are due on that date. But 2 the City of Concord, what it does is, with 3 respect to its taxpayers, has quarterly billing statements. And, so, in fact, Concord Steam's 4 5 last tax bill will be March 31st of 2018. So, we just want to be certain that, when Concord 6 7 Steam dissolves, it will have set aside funds to pay its full tax bill, which will be 8 9 assessed as of April 1, 2017. 10 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, Mr. 11 Bloomfield, there actually wasn't a question asked in there. But, Mr. Kennedy, are you --12 13 are you asking the witness to assume that to be 14 the law, and that, assuming that is the law and 15 the obligation, if he set aside sufficient 16 funds for that? 17 MR. KENNEDY: Yes. Assuming -- yes, 18 thank you. You may answer the Chairman's 19 question on my behalf. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You're 21 adopting -- Mr. Kennedy is adopting that 22 question I think. 23 MR. KENNEDY: Very good.

{DG 16-769} {10-05-16}

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: We have only

budgeted for two months of the 12 months of the

39

year. We have not budgeted, in our closing

3 costs, to pay from April 1 and pay an

4 additional 12 months of taxes.

5 MR. KENNEDY: Okay.

## 6 BY MR. KENNEDY:

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. My next question has to do with the filling and the stabilization of manholes. Have you set aside sufficient funds for all the filling of all the manholes within the Concord Steam
- 12 A. Yes, we have.

system?

- Q. And how is it that Concord Steam is going to
  ensure that the filling and the stabilization
  is done accurately?
- 16 A. We will have Staff monitoring it and making
  17 sure it's done correctly.
- Q. Will you be obtaining permits from the City of Concord to fill these manholes?
- 20 A. I hadn't thought that far along in the process.
  21 But, yes, probably. I guess it's not quite the
- same as a dig permit, but it would probably be
- along the same kind of lines.
- 24 Q. Okay. Is there any type of oversight built

Bloomfieldl WITNESS:

40

1 into your system to, you know, ensure the safety of this process of filling manholes? 2

- We've done it before on other manholes. It's Α. just a matter of, as far as we're concerned, arranging for the appropriate type of fill material, and monitoring the fill and the process to make sure that it's done appropriately.
- Has there been any funds set aside in escrow or Q. otherwise beyond the dissolution of Concord Steam to cover for any errors or mistakes or damage that may result from filling these manholes?
- 14 Α. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

- 15 Just a couple more things. Mr. Bloomfield, are Q. 16 you aware of -- or, in fact, you are aware of 17 certain damage that the Concord Steam has 18 caused to Concord's sewer system?
- 19 I'm aware of some sewer drain manholes that Α. 20 have deteriorated that the City is asking for 21 us to repair.
- 22 And have you set aside funds prior to the Q. dissolution of Concord Steam to pay for those repairs?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
1
    Α.
         No, we have not.
                    MR. KENNEDY: Your Honor, may I
 2
         provide or approach the witness for an exhibit?
 3
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
 4
 5
                         [Atty. Kennedy distributing
 6
                         documents. 1
 7
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kennedy, you
         want this marked for identification as number
 8
          "7"?
9
10
                    MR. KENNEDY: Yes, please.
11
                         (The document, as described, was
12
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 7 for
13
                         identification.)
14
    BY MR. KENNEDY:
15
         Mr. Bloomfield, do you recognize this document?
16
    Α.
         I do.
17
         What do you understand this document to be?
    Q.
         It's a description of six of the manholes
18
19
         Downtown that Concord Steam presently
20
         discharges condensate to.
21
         And you understand that it's the City's
    Q.
22
         position that these manholes need repairs,
23
         isn't that correct?
24
    Α.
         Yes.
```

```
42
                       WITNESS: Bloomfield]
 1
    Q.
         And the estimated cost for these repairs is
 2
         $1,200? The estimated cost is roughly $1,200,
 3
         isn't that correct?
 4
         $1,200 each, that's correct. Yes.
    Α.
 5
                   MR. KENNEDY: I have no further
 6
         questions, Your Honor.
 7
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
         Scott.
 8
9
                   CMSR. SCOTT:
                                  Thank you. Good
10
         afternoon.
11
    BY CMSR. SCOTT:
12
         Mr. Bloomfield, looking at the Settlement,
    Q.
13
         number -- I'll start with number 12. So, it's
14
         saying "Concord Steam's customers converting to
15
         service provided by Liberty Utilities may do so
16
         only if they have paid all outstanding
```

- 17 charges", and you've referenced, if I heard you
- 18 right, you're already having issues along those
- 19 lines?
- 20 That's correct.
- 21 So, what mechanism is that -- would that happen Q.
- 22 under this Settlement? Liberty is not a
- 23 signatory to this. So, do you have some
- 24 leverage, as Concord Steam? And, if you did, I

WITNESS:

43

1 guess you probably wouldn't need this, I would

Bloomfield]

- 2 suggest. 3 Well, that's right. Right. So, we have Α.
- discussed it with Liberty, and I'm speaking for 4 5 them. And they would just as soon not do it, 6 but they are able to do it and will do it, if 7 so ordered. But just simply, before they connect one of our customers, just simply ask 8 9 us if there's outstanding monies due to us.
- 10 So, to put this another way, it's an element of 11 the Settlement, but the party who needs to do 12 the work here is not a part of the Settlement.
- 13 You're right. Α.
- 14 So, certainly not binding on them, am I correct 15 on that?
- 16 Α. Yes. You are correct, yes.
- 17 All right. And, just to clarify the discussion Q. 18 you had with Attorney Speidel, is -- what I 19 think I heard you say, and I just want to make 20 sure it's clear in my mind. Despite the fact 21 that your presumption is you wouldn't be 22 providing service as a utility after May, you still feel, through the Settlement, there's an 23 24 obligation there to fill the manholes and

everything else you've indicated here as part of Concord Steam, is it?

- A. That's correct. I mean, Concord Steam, as a corporation, will shut down the steam plant on the end of May, move out of the existing facilities, but we'll still be paying invoices, we'll still be collecting revenues. We expect to be in business for other reasons, other than even just closing up the manholes and doing some of the work in the customers' buildings that we're expecting to do.
- Q. Okay. Bear with me, I wrote my questions in the margins, sometimes I can't read my own writing. I'll move off the Settlement Agreement for a little bit. While I have you on the stand, the September 21st informational session, I was curious, how well was that attended? Can you give me some feedback from it?
- A. There were a lot of people there. I'm not quite sure how many customers, because we had, you know, there was a lot of vendors, there were mechanical contractors, Liberty, a couple banks were there. So, it was hard to judge

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

exactly how many customers. I think there were probably representative for maybe 30 to 40 customers there. And, then, immediately after that meeting, we summarized that meeting and sent around to all customers a summary of the meeting and a list of all the contractors and contacts that were there. So that, even customers that did not attend, would have the contacts for the different contractors and the banks and that sort of thing.

- Q. So, how would that list be communicated to the customers?
- 13 A. We mailed that to all of our customers.
  - Q. Excellent. Okay. So, obviously, you've heard from the public statement we had from the South Congregational Church. It sounds like they felt a little bit surprised, at least in August, that you may be going away. Is it fair to say at this point you're confident every customer knows what's going on now?
  - A. Oh, yes. Yes. I'm sure every customer knows now.
- Q. Okay. So, nobody moving forward should be surprised?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

A. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. In your verbal statement, you talked a little bit in your summary, I'm back on the Settlement, the May 31st date. And you implied it could be earlier than that, depending on customers coming off. Can you explain that a little bit more to me? The State's going to be on, I assume?
- A. Oh, yes. You're right. Yes, the State will be on. So, we would close the steam plant down on that day. I just mean that there are some customers that I expect, the ones that need hot water, for instance, have made other arrangements earlier than May 31. So that some of the customers will be maybe going off of steam earlier than May 31. But the steam plant itself will be shut down based on planning on May 31.
- Q. Okay. And the Settlement, again, this may be a legal thing. So, I'll leave that to how you want to answer it. But it says "on or about", is that a nod to the other docket, which is 16-770? Or, why does it say "on or about"?

  A. That your -- I don't know.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
1 Q. Okay.
```

- 2 A. I just assume May 31, the end of May 31 we're
- 3 going to close down.
- 4 Q. Okay. Thanks for that clarification.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 CMSR. SCOTT: I think that's all I
- 7 have.
- 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
- 9 Bailey.
- 10 CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you.
- 11 BY CMSR. BAILEY:
- 12 Q. I'm following up on one of Commissioner Scott's
- questions. Do you think that decommissioning
- activities are a part of utility service?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 Q. I have some questions to clarify some of the
- provisions in the Agreement. The proposed
- 18 usage rates that are intended to collect \$3.4
- million, roughly, are they intended -- is that
- 20 total intended to be collected between
- 21 October 1st and May 31st?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And that is an annual revenue requirement that
- 24 normally would be collected over 12 months?

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 A. Yes. We have adjusted it to allow for the eight-month --
- 3 Q. Okay. So, --
- A. -- period of time. It's not a -- that's not a

  twelve-month number. That's the actual revenue

  we're expecting to receive during that

  eight-month period.
- 8 Q. That you need to receive during that 9 eight-month period?
- 10 A. Yes. Yes. That's right. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. In your original testimony,
  12 you talked about some engineering studies that
  13 were required to be done by the Fire Marshal's
  14 Office. Have those been completed?
- 15 A. Yes, they have.
- 16 Q. Then, are there any costs that you're going to incur as a result of those?
- A. There are costs that we have incurred as a result of those, that we've already made the changes and corrections that were -- that were recommended in the reports. And I believe they're still are some additional corrections that were suggested in the reports that we have not gotten to yet.

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
1 Q. Is the revenue required to pay for those
2 expenses included in?
```

A. Yes.

3

- Q. Okay. Does the revenue, in the proposal to recover \$3.4 million, allow the Company to correct for increases or decreases in the costs of plant closure?
- No. Well, it does, in that, if we find 8 Α. No. 9 there's a significant change, and we ask for a 10 change in that, it would trigger a full rate 11 review of all expenses. So, if we had 12 something that was -- let's say there was some 13 soil contamination that we had to clean up that 14 was 15 or \$20,000, we could ask for that. 15 it would mean going through a full rate review, 16 and, for that amount, we probably wouldn't 17 bother. If it was \$200,000, it would be a 18 different story.
- 19 Q. Do you have Mr. Frink's testimony with you?
- 20 A. I do not.

21 (Atty. Geiger handing document to the witness.)

23 CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you.

24 WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Now I do.

1 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thanks.

- 2 BY CMSR. BAILEY:
- Q. On Bates Page 018, he identifies the expected decommissioning costs?
- 5 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. And one of the decommissioning costs accounted for is for environmental assessment of 67,500?
- 8 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. And, in the Settlement Agreement, the State's going to pay for that?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So, should that remain in the decommissioning costs?
  - A. Well, as part of the back-and-forth, the arrangement was that Concord Steam was going to pay for the environmental assessment, the State would pay for the disconnection, the loop disconnecting. There's a certain amount of work that needs to go to disconnect the steam loop Downtown from the rest of our system. So, the State was going to pay for that and we were going to pay for the environmental assessment.

The State decided that, since they're actually the property owner, that they would

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
rather do the environmental assessment. So,

they're now going to pay for the environmental

assessment, and, as a trade-off, we're paying

for the disconnection of the steam loop

Downtown.
```

- Q. And how much is that going to cost, do you have any idea?
- 8 A. Expecting an order of magnitude of \$50,000.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, if, in the event that there's more
  10 expense included in these decommissioning costs
  11 than you ultimately need, that would be part of
  12 the refund that would go back to customers at
  13 the end? All other things being equal?
  - A. Yes. Right. Yes. Assuming that we went to Staff and said "we have this additional expense", and we go through the whole rate review, yes, that would be involved in the refund.
- 20 So, if the environmental assessment reveals some amount of remediation that needs to happen, and it's, say, \$50,000.
- 22 A. Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

Q. Do you have to go through a rate case to recover that \$50,000?

A. Not as I understand it. It just it would be a review from Staff of all of our true, actual expenses. And they would compare actual expenses with our projected expenses, in not a full rate case, per se, but a Staff review of all of our expenses and comparing it to what was projected.

- Q. Of all your expenses, not just your remediation expense?
- 10 A. Yes. That's right.

8

9

- 11 Q. Okay. I want to make sure that I understand

  12 the provision about the CATCH contract. So, as

  13 I understand it, that's a contract that was

  14 with the Endicott Hotel, because there are

  15 residential customers in there. And, for the

  16 first four years, they were going to pay the

  17 usage rates at the lowest tier?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. So, is that still part of the agreement?
- 20 A. I believe that agreement --
- 21 Q. It will expire in June of 2017.
- 22 A. Yes. Yes. Right.
- 23 Q. The four years would.
- 24 A. Yes, the four years would. Right. But

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

part of that was -- the reason that reference
on the CATCH equipment lease was that, as part
of the arrangement, we had to put about \$35,000
worth of equipment into the CATCH building.
And we leased that from an equipment leasing
company.

7 Q. Uh-huh.

8

9

10

11

12

- A. And, through some misunderstanding on our part, we hadn't gotten official approval of that lease as a financing arrangement through the Commission. So, we're asking for approval of that.
- Q. Yes, I understood that. And that was a lease for seven years?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And, so, you're asking for approval to recover
  19 the full amount of that lease or will you be
  20 able to return the equipment early and not have
  21 to pay for the full amount?
- A. We're asking for full recovery of the amount of that lease. The equipment that's in there is probably -- it's not -- it's not really

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

```
returnable, per se, in that it was specialized
equipment for a particular use. And its value
as used equipment at this point is low.
```

- Q. What did you expect to have happen to that when the seven-year lease was up?
- A. When the seven-year lease was up, the equipment would have been paid off, and that equipment would have been turned over to CATCH. CATCH would have been responsible for that.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, back to the usage rates. Are the

  11 usage rates being paid under the CATCH contract

  12 still at the lowest tier, so that would be the

  13 \$30 rate?
- 14 A. Yes. Yes, that's correct. The \$34, or something, whatsoever it was.
- 16 Q. The lowest one?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is it the lowest -- is it the lowest price or the lowest amount of usage?
- 20 A. The intent was the lowest price, which would be
  21 the highest amount of usage, the lowest-priced
  22 tier.
- 23 Q. Right. So that would be \$30.72?
- 24 A. Yes. Right.

1 Q. Per account, okay. With respect to a rebate

- 2 necessary, in case you've over-collected
- 3 revenue?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Who would that rebate be returned to?
- 6 A. We haven't gone over the exact structure of it.
- 7 But what I had assumed was that we know how
- 8 much steam each customer would have bought over
- 9 this eight-month period, and we would return an
- appropriate amount to them on a pro rata basis
- over the eight-month period.
- 12 Q. So, if somebody is a customer on October 1st,
- and they leave on December 1st, they've
- 14 purchased a certain amount of steam in that
- period, they would get a pro rata portion of
- 16 the rebate?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Even though they're not a customer when the
- rebate happens?
- 20 A. I haven't worked that through. But, yes, I
- 21 that would probably be the case.
- 22 Q. Okay. So, that means you need to keep track of
- customers who leave until the end of May?
- 24 A. Yes. Right. And we have our steam sales

1 records, so that's --

- Q. Okay. The provision about Liberty making sure that customers have paid you before they connect electric before they connect gas service, is the request that you're making in the Settlement Agreement to have the Commission order Liberty to do that?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

9 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I believe
12 Commissioner Scott has another question or two.

13 CMSR. SCOTT: The Chair wisely never
14 believes me when I say "I have no more
15 questions."

WITNESS BLOOMFIELD: Yes. You've managed to decipher some of your scribbles in the margins?

19 CMSR. SCOTT: I have.

20 BY CMSR. SCOTT:

Q. So, you already talked a little bit about the site environmental assessment. When I go to Section 14 of the Settlement, what I think I'm reading is, if there is any remediation or

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

environmental liability that's assigned to

Concord Steam, there's a joint report in

February of 2017 that would identify that, is

that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And, if your plan is you'll go out of service by the end of May, so, what happens -- just help me connect the dots here. If it's a significant, hopefully, it's not, hopefully, there's nothing, but, if there's a significant environmental liability that's assigned to Concord Steam, how do you do that recovery or how does that work?
- A. We would try and get the -- we'd try and get the environmental study done as soon as possible, and any estimated cost of remediation as soon as possible and get it to you before February. But it would be an increase or a surcharge or some kind of additional charge to customers.
- Q. And, at that late date, you probably wouldn't have a lot customers, am I correct?
- 23 A. That's right.
- Q. So, again, I'm not -- hoping I'm not foreseeing

WITNESS: Bloomfield] 1 a problem --Yes. No, we understand what the situation is. 2 Α. 3 But there's not a lot of other, you know, we 4 don't believe that there is anything. There's 5 an underground -- there's oil storage tanks. 6 If there is any issue with those, the State has 7 a UST (Underground Storage Tank) Fund to deal with it. And it has been filled with Number 6 8 9 oil since 1945 or thereabouts. So, I, you 10 know, --11 On that front, have you worked out with the Q. 12 State at this point where the line is drawn of 13 what's yours potentially and what's theirs? 14 It's an old site, correct? 15 It's a very old site, yes. I mean, the State Α. 16 has operated that site as a steam plant since 17 the late 1800s. So, no, we haven't really 18 defined whose responsibility is what. We're 19 expecting to have that discussion once we get 20 the environmental assessment done.

Q. So, it sounds like you're in the same frame of mind that I am, the sooner the better for that, I think, --

24 A. Yes.

21

22

1 Q. -- for all involved?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 CMSR. SCOTT: That's, I believe, my
- 4 final question.

### 5 BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:

- 6 Q. Mr. Bloomfield, I'm interested in the property
  7 tax question, the issue that Mr. Kennedy raised
  8 with you. I know that there's a discussion of
  9 property taxes associated with your testimony
  10 in Exhibit 1. Do you have that with you? It's
  11 on Bates Page 052.
- 12 A. I do not have it with me.
- 13 Q. I guess, as a preview, what I would like to be
  14 able to do is figure out where in your filing
  15 you describe what is being set aside for
  16 property taxes?
- A. Well, our annual property taxes are in the order of magnitude of 180 to \$200,000. We had assumed that, since it was a short year, that we would reduce those by approximately \$40,000, as I remember.
- Q. All right. Well, who's going to own the property after Concord Steam stops being Concord Steam?

# [WITNESS: Bloomfield]

- 1 A. The steam plant reverts to its owner, which is 2 the State of New Hampshire.
- Q. So, the steam plant is part of the property on which you are paying property taxes?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.

21

22

23

- Q. What is other property on which you're paying property taxes?
- 8 A. The steam pipes Downtown.
- 9 Q. I see in your -- on Page 52 of your filing, 10 there's also something in Pembroke?
- 11 A. There's a wood yard in Pembroke that's part of 12 the COE. That's right.
- 13 All right. Now, I'm going to ask Mr. Frink Q. 14 about this, too, because he's got a page in his 15 testimony regarding property taxes. So, you 16 can -- I'm going to ask you to continue with 17 what you were saying when I think I interrupted 18 you. You expected a reduction of a certain 19 amount because of the partial year, why don't 20 you finish that explanation.
  - A. Yes. That's right. As I remember, we expect a partial reduction, I think I budgeted \$40,000 for a partial reduction in property taxes.

    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Geiger?

MS. GEIGER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. With
your permission, I'd like to give the testimony
that Mr. Bloomfield filed originally in this
docket to him, so that he can review it and
hopefully clarify the record on this point?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.

(Atty. Geiger handing document

(Atty. Geiger handing document to the witness.)

## BY THE WITNESS:

- A. Yes. So that the wood yard taxes are approximately \$15,000, and that's actually part of the COE. So, there's \$180,000 of taxes that we paid in 2015 of property tax, 40 to the State and 140 to the City. That's -- so that, on Bates Page 052, it gives what the actual taxes were that we paid in 2015. And, then, in the proforma adjustments, I made an estimate --
- BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
- 19 Q. What page would we be looking at?
  - A. So, now, I'm going to Page 42, Bates Page 042, about just below the midline, it says "Taxes Property", and it shows "\$180,000" of test year ended 2015, with a proforma adjustment of "40,000".

Q. Okay. Thank you. Regarding customers who haven't paid, and if an order were to be issued to Liberty not to take those customers, what

- A. I would assume that they would pay us and they get their gas turned on.
- 7 Q. Say that doesn't happen, what would happen?

would happen to those customers?

8 A. I don't know.

4

5

6

15

16

9 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't believe
10 I have any other further -- any further
11 questions for you.

Ms. Geiger, do you have any further questions for your witness?

MS. GEIGER: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Just, I believe, one area.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. GEIGER:

- Q. Mr. Bloomfield, do you recall questions from
  Commissioner Bailey regarding environmental
  remediation cost recovery?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And was it your testimony that you thought that
  those environmental remediation costs would be
  considered by the Commission as part of a full

63

1 rate consideration?

- A. No. As I understand it, it would be -- let me back up and say that, if there was any -- any extraordinary change in our expenses, whether our normal operating expenses or our closure expenses, that we could present -- basically, present that to Staff. But, in doing -- in looking at that one extraordinary item, they would also be looking at all of our other expenses. So, it would not necessarily be a full rate case, it just would be a full expense review by Staff, to determine whether they felt the increase was justified.
- Q. And, Mr. Bloomfield, could you please turn to
  Paragraph 14 of the Settlement Agreement?
- 16 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 17 Q. And could you please read the second sentence 18 in Paragraph 14.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. Could you read it into the record please.
- A. Yes. That "The Parties, Concord Steam and the State, will file with the Commission a joint report identifying the environmental liability to be the responsibility of Concord Steam,

64

remediation measures for which Concord Steam 1 may be liable and the estimated cost of 2 3 remediation, The Parties agree that the cost of any environmental remediation to be the 4 5 responsibility of Concord Steam shall be 6 included in the decommissioning costs and 7 recovered from customers through Concord Steam's rates prior to discontinuance of 8 service". 9 10 Could you read the next sentence please. 11 And that "Concord Steam may request Commission Α. 12 approval to adjust emergency rates for potential remediation costs for which Concord 13 14 Steam may be liable." 15 Okay. So, in light of that, those provisions Q. 16 in Paragraph 14, is it your understanding that 17 environmental remediation cost recovery can 18 occur in a different manner than an 19 extraordinary cost recovery? 20 Α. Yes. 21 MS. GEIGER: Thank you. I don't 22 think I have any more questions. 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kennedy,

{DG 16-769} {10-05-16}

what can we do for you?

```
1
                   MR. KENNEDY: I just -- I hate to do
 2
         this, but I just had a couple of follow-up
 3
         questions, real quick.
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We hate for you
 4
 5
         to do this, too. If you were allowed to ask
 6
         questions, what would they be?
 7
                   MR. KENNEDY: Well, one would pertain
         to Paragraph 10 of the Settlement Agreement
 8
9
         with respect to the triple-net lease,
10
         concerning the holding company and the
         agreement that that will have with the State of
11
12
         New Hampshire, relative to whether or not that
13
         holds, there's a provision in that triple-net
14
         lease, which I suspect that there is, --
15
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, and is
16
         there some reason why you didn't ask that
17
         question before?
18
                   MR. KENNEDY: Um, --
19
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I didn't think
20
         so.
21
                   MR. KENNEDY: I don't know.
22
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there -- are
23
         there other questions that you would have?
24
                   MR. KENNEDY: So, there's that
```

1 triple-net lease question relative to the 2 holding company. 3 My other question is that Ed Roberge, sitting next to me, who is the City Engineer, 4 5 had raised a question with me while listening to Mr. Bloomberg's [Bloomfield's?] testimony, 6 7 and asked where the disconnection of service would take place. Would it be taking place in 8 9 the property or in the street? And, if it 10 occurs in the street, we'd be concerned about 11 disruption of the roadway. 12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Ms. 13 Geiger? 14 MS. GEIGER: I don't have any 15 objection to that question. 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What about the 17 first one? 18 MS. GEIGER: I think that it's 19 obvious that the triple-net lease hasn't yet 20 been developed. So, I'm not sure whether the 21 witness is going to have any information to 22 provide on the provisions of that lease. It's 23 clear from the provisions of the Settlement

Agreement, in Paragraph 10, that that lease is

1	going to be developed in the future.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Do
3	any of the other parties have an objection to
4	Mr. Kennedy asking the very limited number of
5	questions he's identified?
6	MR. ASLIN: Not the State.
7	MR. KENNEDY: Your Honor, I'd also
8	ask that
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You got another,
10	Mr. Kennedy?
11	MR. KENNEDY: Yes well, it's not a
12	question. I'd also
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, let's
14	finish with the questions first.
15	Mr. Teague, I assume you have no
16	problem with Mr. Kennedy?
17	MR. TEAGUE: I have no problem.
18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: How about you,
19	Mr. Speidel?
20	MR. SPEIDEL: No problem.
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kennedy,
22	what else can we do for you before you follow
23	up with your questions?
24	MR. KENNEDY: I think it would be

```
1
         great if you'd remove the ID on Exhibit 7.
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes. Okay. We
 2
 3
         generally do that at the end of the hearing.
         But, yes, we'll get to that.
 4
 5
                   MR. KENNEDY: It's my first time
 6
         here, Your Honor.
 7
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: No, that's fine.
         We're happy to have you.
 8
                   All right. You may proceed with
9
10
         those two areas of questioning you identified.
11
                   MR. KENNEDY: Okay.
12
                     RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13
    BY MR. KENNEDY:
14
         Mr. Bloomfield, I think you heard my question
15
         relative to the triple-net lease. Is there any
16
         discussion concerning the holding company
17
         paying whatever property taxes may be on that
18
         Downtown Loop?
19
    Α.
         With the triple-net lease, our intent and
20
         understanding of how that would develop is, if
21
         there were any property taxes to be paid, the
22
         State would be responsible for them. The
23
         holding company will not pay it. A "triple-net
24
         lease" means the person, the lessor -- or,
```

[WITNESS: Bloomfield]

1 lessee --

- 2 Q. The lessee.
- A. -- the person who's leasing the property is responsible for property taxes. So, that's --
- 5 Q. Okay. And my second question, relative to 6 where the disconnection is going to take place,
- 7 is that going to be inside or outside, in the
- 8 street? Inside the building or outside, in the
- 9 street?
- 10 A. It will be inside the buildings, not in the street.
- 12 Q. And do you know --
- 13 A. Well, with the exception of disconnecting of
- the Downtown Loop, or maybe I should clarify.
- Are we talking about, when we talk about
- "disconnecting the Downtown -- the State loop
- for the State House property? Or are we
- 18 talking about just simply closing down in the
- 19 end of May?
- 20 Q. Well, let's address both, since you brought it
- 21 up.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Let's first talk about, when you close down in
- 24 May, how that's going to occur with the

[WITNESS: Bloomfield] 1 individual properties? Yes. That will just be inside each individual 2 Α. 3 building. 4 So, no disruption in the roadway? Q. 5 That's correct. 6 Okay. And, then, with the Downtown Loop, can Q. 7 you explain that process for us please? Yes. There are -- there are five, I believe 8 Α. there are five different connections, two of 9 10 which will require some excavation, three of which are in manholes that will not require 11

- 13 Q. And who's going to perform that work?
- 14 A. Concord Steam will.

excavation.

12

23

15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Does
16 anyone else have anything for Mr. Bloomfield?

[No verbal response.]

18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr.

Bloomfield, why don't you return to your seat.

Who's the next witness? Mr. Speidel,

21 would it be Mr. Frink?

22 MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. It is Mr. Frink.

[Brief off-the-record discussion

24 ensued.]

[WITNESS: Frink]

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr.
2	Frink, why don't you just stay where you are.
3	Because we're going to take a short break, give
4	Mr. Patnaude a chance to rest his fingers and
5	for the machine to cool down. So, we'll be
6	back in about ten minutes.
7	(Recess taken at 2:37 p.m. and
8	the hearing resumed at 2:52
9	p.m.)
10	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Now, Mr. Frink.
11	(Whereupon Stephen P. Frink was
12	called as a witness, having been
13	previously sworn by the Court
14	Reporter in this docket on
15	September 6, 2016.)
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, Mr. Frink,
17	you're still under oath from the earlier
18	hearing in this same docket. You might not
19	have realized that, but
20	WITNESS FRINK: Well, I'm always
21	truthful anyway.
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We know that,
23	but the formalities make everybody feel better.
24	Mr. Speidel, you may proceed.

# [WITNESS: Frink]

# STEPHEN P. FRINK, PREVIOUSLY SWORN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SPEIDEL: O. Mr. Frink, could you please state your

- Q. Mr. Frink, could you please state your full name and position at the Commission.
- A. Stephen P. Frink. And I'm the Assistant Director of the Gas and Water Division.
- Q. Are you familiar with the document that is marked "Docket Number DG 16-769 Direct Testimony of Stephen P. Frink"?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- 12 Q. Do you have it with you?
- 13 A. I do.
- 14 Q. Do you adopt it as your testimony in this proceeding?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you have any corrections that you would like
  18 to make to this document or any of the
  19 supporting schedules?
- 20 A. Yes, I do. On Page 5, Lines 1 through 4, as
  21 Commissioner Bailey pointed out, the State will
  22 be paying for the environmental site
  23 assessment. So, I would like to strike that,
  24 those four lines.

# [WITNESS: Frink]

- 1 Q. So, that's on Bates Page 006, correct?
- 2 A. Bates 006, yes.
- 3 Q. Thank you. And I think Commissioner Bailey was pointing out a supporting schedule as well.
- 5 A. Correct. That is Bates Page --
- 6 Q. Eighteen?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 -- 018. And, actually, it flows through other schedules as well. But, while I normally 8 9 wouldn't divulge what went on in settlement 10 discussions, there was some back and forth. 11 And I had the cost of disconnecting the loop and then the environmental assessment, and the 12 13 loop. Anyway, that environmental assessment, 14 on the second line, under "Decommissioning Costs", should be -- that should be -- we 15 16 should strike that.

And, as I say, there is an impact going through the other schedules. But, if I were to include the cost to disconnect the loop, the \$50,000, it's not material. So, I'm not proposing to revise or correct any of the other schedules.

Q. So, when we're on the issue of decommissioning costs, there has been a document provided by

the City of Concord, we don't have to make specific reference to it beyond mentioning that it seems to list \$7,200 of manhole repairs. Do you have any specific position that Staff has at the present time regarding such ancillary repair costs that are being proffered to the Company by the City?

A. Well, that's -- none of these costs, both in the -- the revenue requirement is based on estimated costs, operating costs. And we used a test year, proformed that. Which, using the test year, again, it's not a full 12 months.

So, we actually adjusted the test year expenses to reflect that. So, we removed summer expenses in calculating the revenue requirement. So, that's accounted for.

But none of those expenses are -- they're estimates, they're a best guess as to what's going to happen. And, then, we design revenues to satisfy that requirement to cover those estimated costs. And some of those costs may be more or less normal operations. There may be manholes that need to be fixed, and maybe there were some fixed last year that are in

that revenue requirement, that, you know, is reflected in rates.

So, that, you know, \$1,200 a manhole, I don't consider that a very significant cost.

And I think the revenue requirement would accommodate that.

But, ultimately, if the Company decides that it's an extraordinary event and they want to request an adjustment, then they can do that as part of a full rate case, but I don't see that as being an issue. I don't think it's been decided as to whether Concord Steam feels they have that obligation, and that it may be that they don't. But that's something that is just — will be handled in the course of normal operations. It doesn't need to be decided by the Commission in this proceeding.

- Q. So, would you be able to just expand on or reiterate the idea of the adjustment to the test year to incorporate the eight-month period that we're dealing with here?
- A. Yes. Again, as Commissioner Bailey pointed out, this is not a full -- we're looking at the revenue requirement for a October through May,

it's not a full 12 months. And, so, we used, to try and determine what the costs will be that need to be covered through the revenue requirement over those months, we adjusted the test year expenses accordingly. So, because they're not going to be operating through the summer, there were quite a bit of savings from that that is reflected in the revenue requirement.

- Q. In terms of the quarterly status reports that we had some discussion about, do you still think that they have some value added and they should continue during the pendency of this shutdown period over the next year or so?
- A. I think they do. I think they should be filed in this proceeding. I think the status reports that were in a 2014 docket should be discontinued. I think what we should be getting is status reports that explain, update us as to, for instance, what's happening with the Fire Marshal repairs. And, I mean, they could cover something like the dispute on the manhole repairs, things like that.

Not, obviously, the status of the Company,

the standing of the Company going forward will have been resolved. But, I think, through the shutdown period, and certainly during the decommissioning, it would be helpful to say "Okay, get quarterly reports during the decommissioning." There are a lot of commitments that have been made as part of this Settlement as to what the Company and the holding company are going to do beyond terminating service. And I think it would be helpful to have quarterly reports to update the Commission as to whether they have actually been done.

- Q. There was some discussion of the question of property taxes and the treatment of taxes in the revenue requirements and schedules for this proceeding. Does Staff have a perspective on how such taxes ought to be accommodated within the rates? And how they fit in with the Settlement Agreement that was presented?
- A. Again, this is a normal operating cost that

  Concord Steam has paid throughout their

  existence. And I expect them to continue to do

  and meet there legal requirements to pay their

taxes, and whatever they're required to pay, they will pay.

And that's -- again, that's not something

I think that needs to be ruled on here. We've included a property tax amount in there. And it's a bigger adjustment than what Mr.

Bloomfield had in his initial testimony. But my assumption was that there would be -- the Company would be requesting an abatement, that the assessed value was, given that they're terminating service, is far overstated.

I have actually contacted the Department of Revenue Administration for the State, and showed them my recommendation. And the response I got was that "that's a reasonable expectation." So, they will be -- they will issue a final ruling, the State will, on what the property tax will be for Concord Steam. It will be official on December 1. But it's my expectation that what we've provided for through rates should be enough to cover those costs.

Q. Thank you. In terms of the proposal that Senator Feltes shared with us this afternoon

and spoke about before the Commissioners in kind of a narrative form, do you think that we can move ahead with the current emergency rate petition and the termination of service petition pending the resolution of however that is resolved, so that -- go ahead.

A. Oh, absolutely. I think it's imperative that we move forward on this. My testimony states that, absent this shutdown and the terms of this Settlement, the situation will be much worse for customers. And, whether there's a fund to provide for the nonprofits or others that are having difficulty, they're going to have difficulty, tremendous difficulty, whether this is approved or not. And, so, I think it's -- we need to set emergency rates and collect money to decommission the plant and the distribution system.

So, I think that's a proposal that can be made after-the-fact, and something can be established, if that's what -- if that's appropriate.

Q. Thank you. In terms of what will be happening during the decommissioning process, you

understand that the Staff will have an ongoing oversight role in making sure that everything is going according to the plans delineated in the Settlement Agreement, correct?

A. Could you repeat. Sorry.

- Q. You understand that the Staff will have an ongoing advisory and oversight role in making sure that what has been agreed to by Concord Steam in the Settlement Agreement will take place, correct?
- A. Yes. There's actually a reporting requirement that we've set a target revenue. Obviously, once they stop service, they won't be able to bill customers. And, so, it's imperative that they collect the revenues necessary to meet their requirements for both operations throughout the termination period and to complete the decommissioning.

So, they will be filing monthly revenue reports that will tell us this is what they have collected to date, this is what they're projecting to collect, on normal weather. So, they have made a projection, a monthly projection for sales, based on normal weather.

They will use those, that normal -weather-normalized sales for the months that
are yet to come. They will be allowed to
adjust those for customer losses. So, the
revenue -- there are sales projections based on
the current customer base.

And, from what Mr. Bloomfield said, they have already lost other customers, they will have to adjust their rates for that. If their — if their sales are short, then they will adjust — well, let me back up. They will look at the projection and actual revenues. So, they could collect October revenues. At the end of October, we're going to get a statement from the Company saying "these are what our" — you know, "where our revenues stand now. And this is what our projected revenues look like going forward, based on normal weather, and adjusted for the loss of these customers."

And, once they do that, we'll compare it to the revenue requirement that has been established -- the target revenues have been established in the Settlement. And, if those

revenues are short by or below 95 percent of what the requirements are, then they will be allowed to adjust the rates November 1. And, then, in the following month, we will get another report, and we'll have two months of actual revenues or whatever, you know, the best guess at that point and projections going forward.

So, again, as my testimony states, they don't have any control over when customers terminate service. They certainly don't have any control over the weather. If it's a colder than normal October or November, or any other month for that matter, then you would expect they'd exceed expected revenues, expected sales. If it's cold -- if it's warmer than normal, it would go the other way.

But the fact is, we've estimated what it's going to cost to continue service through this period and to decommission the distribution system and plant. And they have to be able to collect that over this, while they're still providing utility service.

Q. Thank you. If you could please turn your

Number 14 of the Settlement Agreement, which I believe has been marked for identification as "Exhibit 6". And I think there's a line, the very last sentence reads: "To the extent that Concord Steam's responsibility for environmental remediation", and then onward, "are in dispute or otherwise unknown at the time of the February 15, 2017 joint report, the parties agree to submit the issue to the Commission for the fashioning of an appropriate remedy".

Now, Mr. Bloomfield had said something to the effect that "well, we're not very certain if the Commission necessarily has to hear the

Now, Mr. Bloomfield had said something to the effect that "well, we're not very certain if the Commission necessarily has to hear the question." But, I think, would it be fair to say that Staff understands that, if there is a dispute, there would be a proceeding before the Commission where they can adjudicate the question of appropriate rates and responsibility on this point?

A. Yes. What we're trying to do here is, normally, the Commission doesn't do single-issue ratemaking. But, in this

instance, the Staff and the Company and the State have agreed that it's appropriate that, if the environmental site assessment comes back and identifies a cost, that is a remediation cost that may be attributable to Concord Steam, that they should have the opportunity to recover those costs. So that Concord Steam would come forward with?

That. There may be a dispute as to whether they actually -- is with the City, and maybe Concord Steam doesn't feel it's their cost, and there's a dispute. But the expectation is, yes, they will file it. We won't do a full review, but we'll look at that assessment, we'll hear what the parties have to say, and the Commission can make a ruling on it.

But, if they are responsible for remediation costs, then they should be able to recover those costs from ratepayers.

Q. Thank you. So, Mr. Frink, in light of your testimony and -- provided today, and also prefiled, and the execution of the Settlement Agreement, does Staff believe and you believe

that the Settlement's approval would be in the

public interest under the relevant statutory

standards for emergency rates and termination

of service?

85

A. Absolutely.

5

- 6 Q. Would you like to offer any short summary of why?
- Well, this shutdown of Concord Steam has been a 8 Α. 9 long time in coming. They filed a rate case in 10 March with the intent of continuing service, 11 and building a new plant to make them 12 competitive with natural gas rates. But, 13 unfortunately, that there was a bad publicity 14 regarding the Fire Chief's report in the paper, 15 and then, again, the rates, since 2007, have 16 been much higher than natural gas rates, and 17 customers have been leaving. And, at that 18 point in time, with the publicity, with the 19 investigation into operations, everything that 20 was going on, the Company approached Liberty 21 about possibly a deal that would help get them 22 through a transition, to the benefit of, you 23 know, benefit the customers and the utility. 24 And, so, once they were able to reach

that, once that got out there, and I'm not sure, even without that, they would have been able to continue service. Their rates just are not competitive, and get less competitive with every rate increase. So, once that was announced, there's no going back. And they have lost a number of 12 percent of their customers prior to filing their testimony, they have lost customers since. You can't reverse it now. There's simply not the customer base to provide service at a reasonable rate, at a fair and reasonable rate. So, this needs to be done.

And, if they hadn't gotten a deal with Liberty that helped cover their revenue requirement and decommissioning, then, with the customer losses they're experiencing, they would have been in for another rate case right away. And it would have been higher rates, because, basically, they operate at — there's really no fat in their operations, there's really no place to cut operations — to cut operating costs. The Fire Marshal report identified problems that needed to be fixed.

The State was saying "you need to make these repairs". It's just they're not in a position to make the repairs, to continue operations, and to build a new plant. And it's too late for all that. So, this really needs to be done.

Q. So, in terms of the new plant, I think we've seen quite a few comments come in from members of the public, in this docket and the 16-770 docket, referencing a potential deal with GreenCity Power, that entity, for the refurbishment of the Concord Steam plant.

Are you aware of whether that's an actual still live deal, whether it's still out there, or whether it has terminated on its own terms before this proceeding?

A. Well, the Company would be in a better position to answer that. But I am sure that no investor at this point would be interested in acquiring Concord Steam and funding a new plant.

That one of the reasons, for ten years, the Company has taken a lower return and asked for modest increases, relative to what they could have been asking for, is because they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

needed to hold on to the customer base to entice an investor to build a plant. And, with the State and the schools and everybody getting off the system, there's no investor that's going to make a \$20 million investment without an adequate opportunity to recover that cost.

And, once customers convert to another fuel source, they're not coming back to steam, period. I mean, they're making a 20-year investment, something along those lines. So, that's pretty much closed.

MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you. Before I make Mr. Frink available for cross-examination, I would ask that Mr. Frink's testimony be marked for identification as "Hearing Exhibit 8"?

CMSR. SCOTT: Attorney Speidel, can I clarify? This is the 4 October testimony, right?

MR. SPEIDEL: That's right.

CMSR. SCOTT: Because I have in my

file a 30 August also.

MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. The 30 August testimony would be something that had -- there

```
1
         had been an attempt to mark it as "Hearing
         Exhibit 5".
 2
 3
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It is marked for
         identification as "Hearing Exhibit 5", but the
 4
 5
         ID has not been struck on the earlier
 6
         testimony.
 7
                   MR. SPEIDEL: Right. So, I think it
         would probably, to avoid confusion, especially
 8
9
         for folks reading the oral transcript record,
10
         it might not be a bad idea to have this October
11
         4th testimony marked as "Exhibit 8" for
         identification, if that's all right?
12
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's correct.
13
14
         It's "Exhibit 8".
15
                         (The document, as described, was
16
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 8 for
17
                         identification.)
18
                   MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you very much.
19
         Mr. Frink is available for cross-examination.
20
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do any of the
21
         Settling Parties have questions for Mr. Frink?
22
         Ms. Geiger?
23
                   MS. GEIGER: No.
24
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Aslin?
```

[WITNESS: Frink]

MR. ASLIN: No.

2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Teague?

3 MR. TEAGUE: No.

4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kennedy, do

5 you have any questions for Mr. Frink?

MR. KENNEDY: Yes, Your Honor. Just

7 a couple here.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. KENNEDY:

8

- 10 Q. Mr. Frink, with respect to the taxes that have
- 11 been set aside for the -- I believe, is that
- 12 the 2016 tax year?
- 13 A. Well, it's to cover the taxes during both the
- shutdown period and the decommissioning period.
- So, it's total taxes.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, you understand that the 2016 tax
- year starts April 1 and ends March 31?
- 18 A. If you say so.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you understand that the 2017 tax
- year will start April 1, 2017?
- 21 A. I'll accept that.
- 22 Q. And you understand that the assessors in each
- of the municipalities, and in this case
- Concord, will value the property as it is, as

[WITNESS: Frink]

```
it stands, and as it functions April 1, 2017?
```

- 2 A. If you say so.
- 3 Q. You said you spoke to the DRA on this issue?
- 4 A. I spoke to the State about the -- what the tax
- 5 assessment and Concord Steam's taxes are likely
- 6 to be going forward, and that's -- I contacted
- 7 them, yes.
- 8 Q. So, whether it's the State or the DRA, who did 9 you speak to?
- 10 A. I actually emailed, and this was done
- informally, and it's a draft assessment. So,
- 12 I'm not really comfortable in saying who I
- spoke to and what he gave me for a number.
- 14 That will be a -- a ruling will be made, and
- that will be made official and public on
- December 1st, is my understanding.
- 17 Q. And what tax year is that?
- 18 A. That's for the 2016 tax year.
- 19 Q. Okay. And, so, for local property taxes, you
- 20 understand that it's the locality, i.e., in
- 21 this case, the City of Concord, that assesses
- and sets the value of the property, is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.

[WITNESS: Frink]

1 Q. And you haven't spoken with anybody at the City of Concord, have you?

A. No, I have not.

- Q. So, your calculation that you come up with doesn't contemplate any property taxes determined by the City of Concord, is that correct?
  - A. No, it does. There are -- basically, we took the 2015 taxes, City and State, we're talking property taxes, and we cut those in half, on the assumption that there would be an abatement, given that the value of that property is -- the salvage value of that property, according to the Company, is \$63,000.

So, being a homeowner, I know the market value is used to determine my property tax.

It's hard to see where the market value of Concord Steam's system and plant is what it was last year. And, so, I made an adjustment, and this Company and the parties that are signatories to the Settlement accepted that this is an appropriate revenue requirement.

So, that's where things stand.

And, as I explained earlier, we've got a

```
1
         number of adjustments and expenses that are
         reflected in here. There's nothing
 2
 3
         appropriated specifically to pay taxes or to
         pay this item or that item. There's one -- a
 4
 5
         $7 million revenue requirement that needs to be
 6
         met, and it will satisfy their expenses going
 7
         forward. If it's not, then they have the right
         to petition the Commission for a rate increase.
 8
9
         Okay. And, just for clarification, you based
10
         this tax number that you came up with based
11
         upon your own discussions with Concord Steam
12
         and your experience as a property owner
13
         yourself?
14
         Yes. That's what my -- when I made my
15
         estimate, that was -- those things were weighed
16
         into my decision.
17
         Okay. And you had no discussions with
    Q.
18
         Concord's Assessing Department as to what the
19
         value might be in 2000 --
20
         No, I did not.
    Α.
21
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I suspect the
22
         answer to that is the same as the answer he
23
         gave you the last time you asked it.
```

{DG 16-769} {10-05-16}

MR. KENNEDY: I just wanted to be

24

[WITNESS: Frink]

1 certain, Your Honor. 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, I think it's 3 pretty clear. MR. KENNEDY: I'm finished with my 4 5 questions, Your Honor. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 7 Scott. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank. And good 8 9 afternoon again, I think. I said "good 10 afternoon" earlier to you at some place, I'm 11 sure. 12 BY CMSR. SCOTT: 13 On the Settlement Agreement, the language for the shutdown is "31 May", "on or about 31 May". 14 15 And, as you probably recall in my discussion 16 with the previous panelist, there seemed to be 17 some -- a little bit of back-and-forth on that. So, what does the "on or about" mean to you? 18 19 We fully expect Concord Steam to provide Α. 20 service through May 31st. If all their 21 customers ask to stop service before then, then 22 maybe they will close earlier. But, as long as 23 there's still a Concord Steam customer taking 24 service in May, we expect them to continue

1 providing service through the entire month.

- Q. Okay. And, under the Settlement, it wouldn't be beyond May 31st?
- A. It would not be beyond May 31st.
- Q. Okay. You talked about different -- I think you were questioned by Attorney Speidel about reporting and what would be appropriate and not appropriate. Would it make sense, in your mind, that, since Concord Steam has expressed a concern about being -- customers leaving with delinquencies, that that be something that should be reported also, so we can keep track of that? Is that necessary, do you think?
- A. Yes. I think that would be very helpful to have that. And, actually, it might be a good idea to, in the order, require it. But the Company would probably agree to do that and be happy to do that, because it impacts their ability to achieve the funds they need.
- Q. And, on that Item Number 12, which would seem to imply that this Settlement would require Liberty to not allow new customers, can you help me, what was your expectation that would be -- what would be done in this docket about

96

```
1
         that, that item in this Settlement Agreement?
 2
    Α.
         I believe the Commission could order Liberty
 3
         not to except customers that have an
 4
         outstanding balance with Concord Steam, unless
 5
         they have a letter saying that, basically,
 6
         saying that, you know, maybe "we have a payment
 7
         plan" or "we've received full payment", or even
         if there would even be a conversation. But I
 8
9
         think the Commission has that right to say
10
         "Liberty can't add customers if they're not in
         good standing with Concord Steam." And, so,
11
12
         that would have to be in the Commission order.
13
         And you're suggesting it would be in this
    Q.
14
         order?
    Α.
         Yes.
         And am I missing -- Liberty's not a party in
```

- 15
- 16 17 this docket, are they?
- 18 Α. No, they're not.
- 19 Okay. I'm just thinking through my mind the Q. 20 due process issues, I think. Okay. I'll move 21 The discussion about remediation, I think 22 what I was trying to lay out with Mr. 23 Bloomfield is, hopefully, a very worst-case 24 scenario, where there are significant

1		remediation liabilities. I understood your
2		point that they can come to us. But, if it's
3		going to be on ratepayers, and there are no
4		ratepayers effectively left, how does that
5		work?
6	Α.	Well, there would be no point in coming to us,
7		if this isn't decided expeditiously and there's
8		still some time left to bill customers. I
9		don't know what remedies the Company would have
L 0		to meet a major remediation that they may be
L1		required to perform. And I don't know under
L2		what circumstances that situation might arise.
L3		It's not our expectation that that's going
L 4		to be what occurs. I don't have a good answer
L 5		for that. And I think it's I don't know how
L 6		else to go forward with this.
L 7		CMSR. SCOTT: I think we all agree
L 8		it's not a nothing is perfect about this
L 9		situation, obviously.
20		All right. That's all I have. Thank
21		you.
22		CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
23		Bailey.
2 4		CMSR. BAILEY: Good afternoon.

[WITNESS: Frink]

BY CMSR. BAILEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

- Q. On Page 3 of the Settlement Agreement, in Item
  Number 1, and that first sentence is what got
  me confused about the \$3.4 million, whether
  that was to be recovered in October through
  May, or whether that was an annual revenue
  requirement, and because it says "Concord
  Steam's 2015 adjusted annual usage rate
  revenue" will be increased to 3.4 million.
  Now, is that their annual usage rate revenue
  for October through May, which isn't really
  annual?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. The word "annual" is confusing.
- 15 No, you're right. It is that \$2 million for Α. 16 2015 is adjusted, because, in 2015, I think 17 they had sales of maybe 125,000. And, now, in 18 this, the sales are 110 and about -- roughly, 19 and about 8,000 are subject to -- or, at the 20 fixed rate. So, this 2,004 [2,004,000?] is 21 adjusted to reflect not -- that's not what 22 their actual revenue was in 2015, it's adjusted 23 to reflect the projected sales.
  - Q. Over eight months?

```
1
   Α.
        Over -- from October to May, yes.
```

- Q. Okay. Thank you. On the testimony that you 3 asked us to strike in your testimony, let's 4 just go to the page that we looked at with Mr. Bloomfield, Bates Page 018.
  - So, if we delete the "67,500" from the costs, then that would change the bottom line, right? Is that your intent or --
- 9 My intent is -- nothing in my recommendation 10 changes. Like I said, there was some 11 back-and-forth on what was the appropriate --12 what we were going to -- that Concord Steam is 13 agreeing to pay for. And, at one point, it was 14 the environmental assessment, and another point 15 it was the disconnect --
- 16 Q. Right.

2

5

6

7

8

- 17 -- disconnecting that loop, roughly the same Α. 18 cost.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 So, I flipped that in and out. But the fact 21 is, the Settlement provides for a modest 22 return. And, if you take the \$67,500 out, then 23 what's going to happen is, that, if you go to 24 Bates Page 011, you'll see that the Settlement

1 provides for 2.85 percent --

does that.

it costs to --

Q. Right.

2

10

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 -- rate of return. And that might be 3 percent, if you take out the 67. So, it's 4 5 still a modest return. It's not a material 6 change. So, that's why it really doesn't 7 impact. This is -- the testimony is support 8 for the Settlement increase of 1.4 million. 9 And I feel like, even if you take that out, it
- And I didn't understand, and maybe this is all 11 Q. 12 really not important, but I didn't understand 13 why you wouldn't add the \$50,000 back in that
- 15 Well, I could. But, again, if I don't consider Α. 16 this to have a material impact, adding the 17 50,000 back, just makes it more immaterial.
  - Q. Okay. Have you had any conversations with Liberty about the agreement to ask the Commission to order Liberty not to connect customers who have an outstanding balance to Concord Steam?
  - It was -- it's been raised. And they would Α. prefer to be able to add customers that request

- 1 service, naturally.
  - Q. Right.

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 But, in this Settlement Agreement, and the revenue requirement, we did not provide for 4 5 a -- for bad debts. So, if we didn't have that provision, then I think it would have been 6 7 appropriate to assume that there were customers that were not going to pay and include some 8 9 figure for that. So, to keep rates -- the 10 proposed rates low, the alternative was "well, 11 we'll just make all customers pay."
- 12 Q. And what happens if they don't pay, and
  13 May 31st comes around?
  - A. Well, they normally discontinue service in April, and then they don't restart until the following October. They will hopefully be able to find some way to finance to pay their bills and finance a conversion. And I would also say, they're not required to take natural gas. So, you could install electric heat. That would probably be cheaper than installing gas. But you wouldn't get the payback that you would if you were to install natural gas. But that's really, you know, that's the customer

[WITNESS: Frink]

1 obligation.

4

5

6

7

8

2 CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

# 3 BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:

- Q. Mr. Frink, in developing your testimony and your schedules, is it fair to say that what you were trying to do was figure out how much money the Company needs to bring in to cover its obligations until it's completely done?
- 9 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And you're not trying to get to the precise 11 dollar, you're trying -- or allocated 12 specifically one way or another, you're just 13 trying to add up the categories in ways that 14 account for all of the categories, and maybe 15 one is a little high and one's a little low. 16 You're trying to get to a rough amount, is that 17 right?
- 18 A. That's exactly right.
- 20 And you talked a bit with Commissioner Bailey
  20 about 67 in and 50 out. And, I think, in
  21 another context, you talked about the manholes,
  22 which are, I guess if you add up all six, you
  23 end up at about \$7,200, that doesn't sound,
  24 based on what you said, to be something that

1 would be material, is that right?

Α. That's right.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 3 Now, while it's your view that we don't need to Q. figure out who owes what in that context, we do 4 5 need to be comfortable that we -- we are approving rates that will, in fact, get the 6 7 Company enough money to cover its obligations, 8 right?
- That's correct. 9 Α.
  - Q. In that context, would you generally recommend that we be conservative, in other words, that we make the rate a little higher maybe than we would otherwise, to make sure that they have enough, or are we trying to get to some level of precision beyond that?
  - Α. Staff and the signing parties believe that the 4.1 million increase in rates gets them to where they need to be. And we're obviously -everybody is cognizant of the fact that this is going to be a severe hardship on customers. And, so, we've done our best to keep it as low as we think we reasonably can, and for them to do what they need to do.
  - Within the same general topic, there's the Q.

```
property tax issue. And I understand, from
your schedule, which I think is Bates Page 017
of your testimony regarding property taxes,
that lays out the numbers associated with what
you said orally to Attorney Kennedy, is that
about right?
```

- 7 A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. I see, three lines from the bottom, the number "\$51,128". Is that the -- that's the number that you are arrived at after doing the "rough justice" abatement and partial year calculation that you testified about, is that right?
- 13 A. Which number were you saying?
- 14 Q. "51,000", the third number from the bottom?
- 15 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Assume with me for a moment that that number were about \$100,000 higher. Would that be a material enough change that would cause you to reconsider your recommendation?
- 20 A. I would say, for, under the circumstances, 21 something above 100,000 probably would.
- Q. So, if you thought there was a \$100,000 problem, and I'm not saying that there is, I'm just asking you to assume that for a moment,

1 with that schedule, would that then cause you 2 to go in and look at some of the other ins and 3 outs, like the ones you spoke about with 4 Commissioner Bailey, and the manhole covers, to 5 see, you know, maybe recalculate some things to 6 get you closer to where you feel you should be? 7 Well, one reason, the Settlement makes Α. 8 adjustments for revenue requirements and not 9 expenses. And, for the cost of energy, we 10 actually look at expenses and revenues, and do 11 a reconciliation, and the over and under recoveries, to make sure they exactly match. 12 13 The utility has some ability to reduce costs. 14 The decommissioning, I've assumed that they could complete that in five months. Well, 15 16 maybe they can complete it in four months and 17 eliminate salaries and office leases and things 18 like that. So, I think, if the Company -- the 19 Company may, if you leave it out and it turns 20 out to be higher, the Company has the right to 21 come in and say "there's \$100,000 we hadn't 22 planned on", well, at that point, we could say 23 "okay, well, what are your other expenses 24 doing?"

- Q. Would we be keeping this docket open for that purpose or would they be coming in with a new petition?
  - A. It would be a new petition.
- 5 Q. Similarly, I'm changing the topic, but 6 within the thing we were just talking about, 7 about closing this docket versus something new. One of the provisions, I think it's the one 8 9 about environmental costs, leaves open the 10 possibility of the Company coming in. And 11 would that also be a new proceeding, in your I forgot which paragraph number it is. 12 view? 13 CMSR. SCOTT: Fourteen.
- 14 BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:

4

- 15 Q. It's 14, I'm being told.
- A. Because it's a single issue, and it's raised,
  and recovery is part of the Settlement
  Agreement, I think it would be appropriate to
  do that in this docket.
- Q. In terms of any order we issue in this
  proceeding, in the short term, related to your
  testimony regarding status reports, any order
  we issue here, in your view, should it
  terminate the requirement from the 2014 docket

```
1
         for quarterly reports and replace it with a
 2
         more relevant reporting requirement in this
 3
         proceeding?
 4
         That is my recommendation.
    Α.
 5
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I
 6
         think that's all I have.
 7
                   Mr. Speidel, do you have any further
         questions for Mr. Frink?
 8
9
                   MR. SPEIDEL: None.
                                        Thank you.
10
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr.
11
         Frink, you can return to your seat, I think.
12
                   Mr. Aslin, are you ready to proceed
13
         with Mr. Connor?
14
                   MR. ASLIN: Yes, I am. I would like
15
         to call Mr. Connor to the stand.
16
                   MS. GEIGER: Mr. Chairman, maybe to
17
         save a little time, while Mr. Connor is taking
18
         the stand, I looked through my file and did
19
         find the customer letter that I referenced
20
         earlier today, in response to your question
21
         about the order of notice dated July 26th, and
22
         the provision that Concord Steam notify its
23
         customers.
24
                   And I can submit that to the Clerk
```

108 [WITNESS: Connor]

```
for inclusion in the record in this docket.
 1
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. That
 2
         would be fine. But that doesn't need to be
 3
         marked as an exhibit, does it?
 4
 5
                         [No verbal response.]
 6
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So,
 7
         we'll --
 8
                         (Atty. Geiger handing document
                         to the Clerk.)
9
10
                   MS. GEIGER: Thank you.
11
                         (Whereupon Michael P. Connor was
12
                         called as a witness, having been
13
                         previously sworn by the Court
14
                         Reporter in this docket on
15
                         September 6, 2016.)
16
                    CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Connor,
17
         you're already under oath from the prior
18
         hearing.
19
                    So, Mr. Aslin, up may provide.
20
                    MR. ASLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21
             MICHAEL P. CONNOR, PREVIOUSLY SWORN
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
23
    BY MR. ASLIN:
24
         Mr. Connor, are you familiar with the
    Q.
```

- Settlement Agreement that has been marked as, I
  believe, "Exhibit 6" for identification?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you also familiar with the testimony that you -- the prefiled testimony that you provided in this docket at the September 6th hearing?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. I don't recall the exhibit number.
- 10 CMSR. SCOTT: Four.
- 11 BY MR. ASLIN:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. Exhibit 4, I believe. In light of the

  13 Settlement Agreement, do you have any updates

  14 or additions to your previous prefiled

  15 testimony that was submitted on September 6th?
  - A. Yes. In my original testimony, I had talked about our concerns regarding access to the State House Complex Steam Loop, and also talked about the environmental assessment, that we had some concerns about that, and also a temporary boiler and enough time to be able to do a proper conversion.

Most of those items have been resolved as part of this Settlement Agreement. The access

```
1
         to the State House Complex Loop has been
 2
         addressed. The environmental assessment, we've
 3
         actually decided to take that on ourselves.
         And we're in the final negotiations for a
 4
 5
         temporary boiler with Liberty Utilities. So,
 6
         with that, that basically addresses my initial
 7
         concerns.
         Thank you. And, with regard to the Settlement
 8
    Q.
         Agreement, is it your position that DAS
9
10
         supports that Agreement?
    Α.
11
         Yes.
12
                   MR. ASLIN: Mr. Chairman, I would
13
         provide Mr. Connor now for any questions on
14
         cross.
15
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do any of the
16
         Settling Parties have questions for Mr. Connor?
17
                   Ms. Geiger?
18
                   MS. GEIGER:
                                No.
19
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Teague?
20
                   MR. TEAGUE: No.
21
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel?
22
                   MR. SPEIDEL: No.
23
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kennedy, do
24
         you have any questions for Mr. Connor?
```

```
1
                    MR. KENNEDY:
                                  Just one quick one,
 2
         Your Honor.
 3
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. KENNEDY:
 4
 5
    Q.
         With respect to the temporary boiler, Mr.
         Connor, can you explain or describe what that
 6
 7
         may be and where that may be located?
         We're still actually in discussions. We're
 8
    Α.
         going to meet tomorrow with the vendor. But
9
10
         what we're thinking about is actually two
         different locations. We have a couple boilers
11
12
         here on the campus adjacent to the existing
13
         steam plant that would provide steam heat to
14
         the buildings on the campus during that
15
         interim.
16
              We're also looking at placing one
17
         temporary boiler, potentially two, at the
18
         Department of Justice, in the parking lot,
19
         adjacent -- on the nearest side to the
20
         Legislative Office Building, so it won't
21
         disrupt the residents there. And that would
22
         provide temporary heat to the State House
23
         Complex.
24
         Is there any information relative to noise or
    Q.
```

emissions for these boilers? 1

Α. We're still in the initial stages. We're working with the providing company to get the emissions data. We've been in contact with Environmental Services and getting that information so we can work through the permitting process. And we're also cognizant of the noise, and that's why we're going to place it in a key location. But it's our understanding that it will be pretty minimal.

11 MR. KENNEDY: Thank you.

12 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner

13 Scott?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

24

14 CMSR. SCOTT: No questions.

15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner

16 Bailey?

CMSR. BAILEY: Just one. 17

18 BY CMSR. BAILEY:

- When do you plan to begin the environmental Q. assessment and what kind of work do you have to Do you have to put that out as an RFP? you have to get it approved by Governor & Council? Do you just go get it done?
- Basically, we're hoping to have an agreement by Α.

```
1
         Friday. We're going to be taking advantage of
         an existing statewide contract with an existing
 2
 3
         firm to get that done. So, we're actually
         waiting for their proposal that we're expecting
 4
 5
         today. So, we're hoping that we can come to an
 6
         agreement by Friday, so we can start as soon as
 7
         possible to get it done by December 23rd as
         required with the report.
 8
9
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.
10
    BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
11
         Mr. Connor, is it fair to say that the Public
    0.
12
         Utilities Commission doesn't tell the
13
         Department of Administrative Services how to
14
         heat its buildings or provide hot water?
15
    Α.
         True.
16
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I have no
17
         further questions.
                   Mr. Aslin, do you have any further
18
19
         questions of Mr. Connor?
20
                   MR. ASLIN: No, I do not. Thank you.
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Connor, you
21
22
         can return to your seat.
23
                   WITNESS CONNOR: Thank you.
                   CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there any
24
```

other matters we need to take up, before we start the closing process?

[No verbal response.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. And I assume there's no objection to striking of ID on Exhibits 6, 7, and 8?

MS. GEIGER: Mr. Chairman, I do have an objection to striking the identification from what's been marked as "Exhibit 7". That document was actually circulated or received by Concord Steam in the context of settlement discussions with the City of Concord. And, pursuant to Puc Rule 203.20(a), information that is exchanged during settlement talks is not supposed to be admitted into evidence at proceedings. It's supposed to be held confidential.

And, so, while I understand that Mr. Bloomfield answered questions about the exhibit, I would object to it coming into evidence.

In addition, even if it is admitted, it is -- there's no testimony by Mr. Roberge or any other witness regarding authentication of

1	the information that's in it.
2	So, if it is an exhibit, I would ask
3	that the Commission just give it the weight
4	that they think it deserves as an unsworn
5	document.
6	CMSR. SCOTT: Are you also suggesting
7	that the discussion in the transcript should be
8	confidential?
9	MS. GEIGER: I think, technically,
10	that's probably right. I, again,
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm afraid the
12	horse may have left that barn already.
13	MS. GEIGER: It may be. But I just
14	wanted to draw the Commission's attention to
15	the rule. That it doesn't come up very often.
16	And, again, and I understand Mr. Kennedy
17	doesn't practice here that often, but, again,
18	this was a document that was circulated in the
19	context of settlement discussions. And,
20	therefore, I don't think that it should come
21	in.
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Kennedy.
23	MR. KENNEDY: Yes. I think, if
24	Ms. Geiger reviews what was discussed, with the

1 City of Concord already advised that it would not be signing the Settlement Agreement and 2 3 would not be a party to it, and this was brought up outside the context of settlements 4 5 discussions, and it was brought up between the 6 parties, i.e., Concord Steam and the City of 7 Concord itself, to determine whether or not the parties could determine how these repairs are 8 9 going to be paid for. 10 And, so, this -- Concord had already 11 advised that it was not signing the Settlement 12 Agreement. We are not going to be a party to 13 This was just simply provided to Attorney 14 Geiger, and, actually, Ed Roberge had 15 discussions with Mr. -- I believe Mr. 16 Bloomfield, or it may have been his partner, 17 relative to the repairs that needed to be done. 18 And, so, we weren't signing the Settlement 19 Agreement at that juncture anyway when this 20 document was transferred. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just a moment. 22 (Chairman and Commissioners 23 conferring.) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 24

1 We're going to strike the ID on 6 and 8. are going to hold off on ruling on 7, and we'll 2 deal with it in a written order. 3 Anything else before the parties sum 4 5 up? 6 [No verbal response.] 7 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Mr. Kennedy, you're going to go first, since 8 9 you're opposing. Let everybody else follow up. 10 MR. KENNEDY: Okay. Just to be 11 clear, Your Honor, we're not opposing 12 everything in this Settlement Agreement. 13 just think that it needs to or perhaps the 14 Court's order needs to -- or, this Court's 15 order needs to address certain issues. 16 First, relative to the property tax 17 issue, that the Company be required to hold 18 sufficient funds for the property taxes for 19 the -- both 2016 and the 2017 year. 20 It may be that Mr. Frink is correct 21 in his analysis that those taxes will be what 22 he anticipates they will be. But I think it's 23 important that, to the extent that they're

{DG 16-769} {10-05-16}

higher than that, that there's adequate funds

24

1 to pay whatever those property taxes are. 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: On that point, 3 is there anything in the record that would tell us what the number should be? 4 MR. KENNEDY: No. But all we know in 5 6 the record is that he did kind of a "best 7 judgment" type of analysis, without conducting any type of appraisal or analysis with the 8 9 professionals that actually conduct the 10 assessment. 11 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: There are a 12 number of exhibits that show various numbers 13 for property taxes. Is there anything you can 14 point me to in the record that tells me what we 15 should direct the Company to set aside? 16 MR. KENNEDY: Well, we do know the 17 2015 is a number that may be in the record that 18 might be an estimate. Like I said, that could 19 be much higher than what it's going to be, but 20 we just don't know at this point. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. You may 22 continue. 23 MR. KENNEDY: Also, with respect to 24 the Exhibit 7, and the repairs that we talked

about, we just think that it's important. And I think Mr. Frink affirmed in his testimony that, whatever the repairs that are necessary for Concord Steam to be responsible to pay for, that there was sufficient funds in what it set aside to repair that.

So, if it comes to the determination that this Exhibit 7, which amounts to \$7,200, not a lot of money that we're talking about here, is Concord Steam's responsibility, then I think Mr. Frink's testimony reflects that that will be covered.

of the manholes. This is an important subject matter for the City of Concord relative to the infrastructure of its right-of-way and its Downtown and throughout the City where these manholes are located. And this filling, we think, should go through a permitting process at the City of Concord. That all the permit rules apply for the proper filling and maintenance of the streets and the manholes here. And that they're done in accordance with engineering guidelines here at the City of

Concord.

We also think that there should be some type of an escrow account or funds held in reserve, to the extent that there's any damage caused to the City's infrastructure or to any of the associated water or sewer systems resulting from this filling process.

This should also be included with the closure of the Downtown Loop for the -- for the State's temporary heating system that it will be running. I think the Settlement Agreement addresses that in two separate paragraphs.

You'll see it in, I think, Paragraph 7, and then there's another discussion of filling the manholes in Paragraph 11. And I think it might be appropriate for the order to address that there's proper oversight, I think, ideally through the City of Concord through its permitting, and that there are funds set aside to the extent that damage occurs.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are you asking us for a specific number to set aside a particular amount of money or direct the Company to set aside a particular amount of

money?

MR. KENNEDY: I don't have that exact amount of money in mind, what that would be.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And I don't think there's anything in the record that would tell us what that number might be.

MR. KENNEDY: Well, we do know that we can come back -- the Company can come back on an emergency basis, I suppose, to ask for additional money. But, just understanding that the filling process be conducted with oversight in accordance with the City's Engineering Department, I think may be suffice for that.

And the last thing is with respect to the temporary boiler. The City is, I guess, modestly concerned about this, relative to its new Downtown, and having these boilers located in its' Downtown area. The noise, the emissions, the aesthetics of a large boiler heating large State Buildings is somewhat concerning to the City and perhaps to its citizens in the City. And, so, we hope that the State would work with the City in any regard to help minimize whatever effect that

1	may be.
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. For
3	the Settling Parties, we'll go Mr. Aslin, then
4	Mr. Teague, then Mr. Speidel, and Ms. Geiger.
5	Mr. Aslin.
6	MR. ASLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	I would note for the record that, technically,
8	the School District is not a Settling Party.
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ah, good point.
10	You are correct. But we'll let Mr. Teague
11	follow you anyway, because he did express
12	support for the Settlement.
13	MR. ASLIN: Very good.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm putting him
15	in that basket.
16	MR. ASLIN: Happy to have him. Thank
17	you, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners.
18	The State supports the Settlement
19	Agreement as a signatory. This, as we've
20	heard,
21	[Court reporter interruption.]
22	MR. ASLIN: As we've heard, this is a
23	difficult situation for everyone involved. We
24	believe that the Settlement, though, there are

still significant burdens on many of the parties, it is probably the best outcome possible, with regard to Concord Steam continuing in operation as a potential alternative outcome, that's, by fair, the worst outcome for everyone involved, because the rates that would be required would be so high that it would cost, frankly, quite a bit more than the State's temporary solution, and astronomically more than the State's potential permanent solution.

So, we believe that this is a good balance in the public interest to try and make at least a better situation out of a bad situation.

We support the Settlement Agreement and we believe the State's interests are adequately protected. There are some issues that we'll have to come back to the Commission potentially with regard to environmental assessment liability, and also the lease, if there are disagreements over the lease, we may be back here to resolve those in front of the Commission. We hope that's not the case.

But, ultimately, we believe this puts everyone on a path to a better position for the customers and for Concord Steam and the State.

So, we do support the Settlement Agreement, and thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Teague.

MR. TEAGUE: Thank you. The closure of Concord Steam has created a real emergency for the Concord School District. As we've stated before, we have now a deadline, which we hadn't planned on, didn't anticipate, couldn't anticipate, prior to the fall-apart, basically, and closure of Concord Steam.

But we have to heat those buildings for those students that are serviced by -- the buildings that are serviced by Concord Steam by October 2017. And there is no negotiating room or room for compromise. And that creates an emergency for us, not on October 2017, because we can't wait to prove the emergency by having cold buildings. The emergency is right now. And I think it's very important to make that point, because it seems to be afoot amongst various State officials, that, because we still

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have heat in the buildings, we don't have an emergency. We do have an emergency.

And this Commission has treated this whole question as an emergency. This Commission has adjusted its procedures. It has moved this question along. It has acted responsibly, as we would expect, because it recognizes that what this does to a whole slew of private businesses and residents, and then some really major public entities, including the State of New Hampshire itself, is to create an emergency. And I think it's really important that that be emphasized as we go along, because I think, here, we haven't had that, we haven't had to argue that case. Commission knows it, has encouraged people to come to grips with it. And, in this Settlement Agreement, I think they have. And I think they have done a good job getting together and coming to grips with it. Is it perfect? No. It is nevertheless confronting a real situation with a real solution, that will get us transitioning to the new system in the new world.

So, we, as a school district, have supported, we participated to some degree in the Settlement itself by asking for additional language that was added.

And, therefore, we come today in support of it. We have asked each other about authority. And what we've agreed is that we won't sign it today. Because we don't have a explicit ratification of the Settlement by the Concord School Board, but that will happen.

And we are confident that that will be only a matter of time. But we need to run this by them so they understand the terms.

But there really is no choice. And we're all stuck, and wishing that maybe it were not the case, but it is the case. And there's no point in crying over a whole bunch of spilt milk.

But dealing with it has been, I think, a good exercise for us to participate in, and I -- this is also my first hearing of this type before the PUC. I'm very impressed at everyone's comportment and sense of humor throughout the whole thing.

1 But thank you very much. And we will notify the Commission when there is the formal 2 3 ratification. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you. 4 Ι 5 was going to ask you to do that. I think, as all three of the former Concord School Board 6 7 members in the room would tell you, the ratification process needs to happen. 8 9 Mr. Speidel. 10 MR. SPEIDEL: Staff recommends that 11 the Settlement Agreement be approved as being 12 in the public interest under both operative 13 statutory standards. And we thank the 14 Commission for its consideration. 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Geiger. 16 MS. GEIGER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 17 Chairman. 18 Obviously, Concord Steam finds itself 19 in a difficult situation. It's an unusual and 20 very rare circumstance that a public utility in 21 New Hampshire petitions the Commission for 22 emergency rates, and even more unusual that it 23 petitions a request to discontinue service. 24 However, we believe that the

Settlement Agreement that you have before you, that we worked very hard with Staff and the State to arrive at, is a just and reasonable resolution of the various issues that are before you.

We also believe that it should be approved as in the public interest under both of the statutes that apply to the emergency rates and the discontinuation of service.

In response to Commissioner Scott's question about -- or, concern about due process issues associated with an order in this case that requires Liberty to coordinate with Concord Steam, to make sure that Concord Steam customers with arrearages are not hooked up to Liberty's system until they have satisfied their financial obligations to Concord Steam.

A similar request was made in the companion docket, 16-770. So, we, obviously, don't have an order in that docket yet. We would ask the Commission consider that issue carefully when it decides the 770 case. And we would also ask that that issue be considered here, such that Concord Steam can have

assurances that it will be paid by customers that owe it for service that's been rendered during the period of its operations.

In fact, Mr. Bloomfield reminded me that that's the Company's current policy. When customers take service from Concord Steam for heating, they typically do so from November 1st to the end of May. If there is an arrearage on that customer's account, come November 1st of the following year, Concord Steam will not provide service to that customer until that customer comes in to good standing and their arrearage is satisfied.

So, we basically view the issue that I've just described as similar to the Company's current policy. Such that customers who have arrearages would not be provided service until their accounts are satisfied.

With that, again, Concord Steam appreciates very much the work that the Settling Parties put in to developing the Settlement Agreement. Thank you to the Commission for your patience this afternoon.

And we would respectfully ask that the

Settlement Agreement be approved.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Geiger, on the "unpaid bills" question, is it your view that it would be appropriate in this docket, where Liberty's not a party, to direct an order to Liberty?

MS. GEIGER: I think so, Mr.

Chairman. Again, it's an issue that the

Settling Parties agreed on. I think that the

due process issue is taken care of because the

issue was raised in the other docket.

I also think that there is another piece here that's missing. I know that the Commission's used to directing utilities to do certain things, but I also think that the Commission has the authority to direct customers to do certain things.

And, in this case, where Liberty is not a party, certainly, customers -- some customers are represented here, and it seems to me that it would be appropriate for the Commission to issue an order that would take care of the Company's interests and direct customers to ensure that their accounts aren't

1	in arrearage before they abandon Company
2	service.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you for
4	your thoughts on that.
5	Is there anything else we need to do
6	before we adjourn and take this matter under
7	advisement?
8	[No verbal response.]
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Then, we will
10	adjourn and take this matter under advisement.
11	Thank you all.
12	(Whereupon the hearing was
13	adjourned at 4:00 p.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	